

Computing 1152 cases? You better use a computer!

Son Nguyen

under the guide of Dr. Martin Mohlenkamp
Ohio University, Mathematics Department

Winter 2011

- 1 We need to compute integrals that include terms like:

$$\sum_{a \neq b} w_1(|\gamma_a - \gamma_b|) \sum_{m \neq n} w_1(|\gamma_m - \gamma_n|) \sum_{u \neq v} w_1(|\gamma_u - \gamma_v|)$$
- 2 Depending whether a, b, m, n, u, v are distinct or overlap, we have overall 1154 cases to compute.
- 3 When all indices are distinct we have the following case, which also serve as the general expression:

$$\sum_{a,b} \sum_{m,n} \sum_{u,v} \int \int \int \int \int \int$$

$$w_1(|\gamma_a - \gamma_b|) w_2(|\gamma_m - \gamma_n|) w_3(|\gamma_u - \gamma_v|)$$

$$\phi_a(\gamma_a) \phi_b(\gamma_b) \phi_m(\gamma_m) \phi_n(\gamma_n) \phi_u(\gamma_u) \phi_v(\gamma_v) \Delta$$

$$d\gamma_a d\gamma_b d\gamma_m d\gamma_n d\gamma_u d\gamma_v$$

- Where Δ is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \theta_a(\gamma_a) & \theta_a(\gamma_b) & \theta_a(\gamma_m) & \theta_a(\gamma_n) & \theta_a(\gamma_u) & \theta_a(\gamma_v) \\ \theta_b(\gamma_a) & \theta_b(\gamma_b) & \theta_b(\gamma_m) & \theta_b(\gamma_n) & \theta_b(\gamma_u) & \theta_b(\gamma_v) \\ \theta_m(\gamma_a) & \theta_m(\gamma_b) & \theta_m(\gamma_m) & \theta_m(\gamma_n) & \theta_m(\gamma_u) & \theta_m(\gamma_v) \\ \theta_n(\gamma_a) & \theta_n(\gamma_b) & \theta_n(\gamma_m) & \theta_n(\gamma_n) & \theta_n(\gamma_u) & \theta_n(\gamma_v) \\ \theta_u(\gamma_a) & \theta_u(\gamma_b) & \theta_u(\gamma_m) & \theta_u(\gamma_n) & \theta_u(\gamma_u) & \theta_u(\gamma_v) \\ \theta_v(\gamma_a) & \theta_v(\gamma_b) & \theta_v(\gamma_m) & \theta_v(\gamma_n) & \theta_v(\gamma_u) & \theta_v(\gamma_v) \end{vmatrix}$$

Although the analysis can be done by hand, to actually implement these formulars requires automatic generation of all the cases.

→ **It is hard to do by hand!**

So how to compute those things?

- ① Generate all the cases, which is called by **geminal**, that (*) can take by the comparing the values of indices, then group them by their similarity. This is done using **Algorithm 1**
- ② For each geminal: Expand the determinant Δ , and group them by their similarity. This task is done using **Algorithm 2**
- ③ The next step is to apply reductions to the resulting cases. This is a work in progress. See the other poster.

Notations

- ① Geminals are presented by list of pair. E.g: (0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3) means:

$$\sum_{a,b} \sum_n \int \int \int w_1(\gamma_a - \gamma_b) w_2(\gamma_a - \gamma_n) w_3(\gamma_b - \gamma_n) \\ \phi_a(\gamma_a) \phi_b(\gamma_b) \phi_n(\gamma_n) \\ \begin{vmatrix} \theta_a(\gamma_a) & \theta_a(\gamma_b) & \theta_a(\gamma_n) \\ \theta_b(\gamma_a) & \theta_b(\gamma_b) & \theta_b(\gamma_n) \\ \theta_n(\gamma_a) & \theta_n(\gamma_b) & \theta_n(\gamma_n) \end{vmatrix} d\gamma_a d\gamma_b d\gamma_n$$

- ② **crea(x)**: Return a list containing x and the length of x plus 1.
 $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1, 2]$
- ③ **detg(x)**: Return a list contains all elements of the determinant of x .

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow [(a, d, 1), (b, c, -1)],$$

- ④ **order1(x)**: Order x by the first number in its elements.
 $[(0, 1), (2, 3), (1, 2)] \rightarrow [(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3)]$

- ① **order2(x)**: Order each elements in x.

$$[(0, 1), (3, 2), (2, 1)] \rightarrow [(0,1),(2,3),(1,2)]$$

- ② **Grouping duplicate**: If $\alpha = [(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), 3]$, and $\beta = [(0, 1), (1, 2), (1, 2), 4]$, and α, β are duplicates, then grouping α and β means replacing α and β by $\gamma = [(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), 7]$

- ③ **Corresponding Matrix**: Let α be $[(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), 3]$. The corresponding matrix of α is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (0, 0) & (0, 1) & (0, 2) \\ (1, 0) & (1, 1) & (1, 2) \\ (2, 0) & (2, 1) & (2, 2) \end{bmatrix}$$

Algorithm 1

- ① **Step 1:** Generate all elements in form of $[(0, 1), (m, n), (u, v)]$ that satisfies:
 - $m = \text{crea}(0, 1); n = \text{crea}(0, 1, m); u = \text{crea}(0, 1, m, n)$
 $v = \text{crea}(0, 1, m, n, u)$
 - $m \neq n; u \neq v$
- ② **Step 2:** Order the output in step 1 by function *order2*, and group duplicates.
- ③ **Step 3:** Interchange $0 \leftrightarrow 1$, and apply function *order2* to the output in step 2 to group duplicates
- ④ **Step 4:** Interchange $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ and then apply function *order2* for elements that contains 2, 3 in the output of step 3 to group duplicates.

- There are 16 geminals:

- 1 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 3), 8$
- 2 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4), 4$
- 3 $(0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 3), 8$
- 4 $(0, 1), (0, 1), (2, 3), 2$
- 5 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 3), 2$
- 6 $(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 1), 8$
- 7 $(0, 1), (0, 1), (1, 2), 8$
- 8 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 4), 4$
- 9 $(0, 1), (1, 2), (0, 2), 8$
- 10 $(0, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), 8$
- 11 $(0, 1), (1, 2), (3, 4), 4$
- 12 $(0, 1), (0, 2), (2, 3), 8$
- 13 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5), 1$
- 14 $(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 1), 2$
- 15 $(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 2), 8$
- 16 $(0, 1), (0, 1), (0, 1), 4$

Algorithm 2

- ① **Step 1.** Create symmetry group for each geminals in the output of Part 1.1.
 - For a geminal α , generate a set G containing all possible permutations can be a element of group symmetry of α .
 - Apply an elements, g , in G , to α , and order the outcome by *order2* to get β
 - If $\alpha \equiv \beta$, put g in to the group symmetry of α .
- ② **Step 2:** Apply the group symmetry on each elements of the determinant.
 - For a geminal α , compute $\text{detg}(D_\alpha)$, where D_α is a *corresponding matrix* of α , to obtain the list D
 - Order D by *order1*, and *group duplicates* to get the list F
 - Apply the group symmetry of α to the first element, F_0 , in F to obtain F_{01} . Order F_{01} by *order1*.
 - Compare F_{01} to the rest of F to *group duplicates*. Save the elements obtained in a list A
 - Delete F_{01} and its duplicates.
 - Repeat this procedure until the F empty. The final output is A .

Symmetry Group

- Eight geminals have identity symmetry group. Follows are symmetry group of the other 8 geminals, the identity was excluded for simplicity:

Geminals	Group Symmetry
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4)$	$(1, 0, 2, 3, 4)$
$(0, 1), (0, 1), (2, 3)$	$(0, 1, 3, 2), (1, 0, 2, 3), (1, 0, 3, 2)$
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 3)$	$(0, 1, 3, 2), (1, 0, 2, 3), (1, 0, 3, 2)$
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 4)$	$(0, 1, 3, 2, 4)$
$(0, 1), (1, 2), (3, 4)$	$(0, 1, 2, 4, 3)$
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5)$	$(0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 4), (0, 1, 3, 2, 4, 5), (1, 0, 3, 2, 5, 4)$ $(0, 1, 3, 2, 5, 4), (1, 0, 2, 3, 4, 5), (1, 0, 2, 3, 5, 4)$ $(1, 0, 3, 2, 4, 5)$
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 1)$	$(0, 1, 3, 2), (1, 0, 2, 3), (1, 0, 3, 2)$
$(0, 1), (0, 1), (0, 1)$	$(1, 0)$

- Follows are the results for those geminals does not have identity symmetry.

Geminals	Before	After
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 4)$	120	66
$(0, 1), (0, 1), (2, 3)$	24	10
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (2, 3)$	24	10
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 4)$	120	66
$(0, 1), (1, 2), (3, 4)$	120	66
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5)$	720	120
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (0, 1)$	24	10
$(0, 1), (0, 1), (0, 1)$	2	2

What about this?

$$\sum_{a,b} \sum_{m,n} \sum_u \int \int \int \int \int w_1(|\gamma_a - \gamma_b|) w_2(|\gamma_m - \gamma_n|) V(r_u) \phi_a(\gamma_a) \phi_b(\gamma_b) \phi_m(\gamma_m) \phi_n(\gamma_n) \phi_u(\gamma_u) \Delta d\gamma_a d\gamma_b d\gamma_m d\gamma_n d\gamma_u$$

- Where Δ is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \theta_a(\gamma_a) & \theta_a(\gamma_b) & \theta_a(\gamma_m) & \theta_a(\gamma_n) & \theta_a(\gamma_u) \\ \theta_b(\gamma_a) & \theta_b(\gamma_b) & \theta_b(\gamma_m) & \theta_b(\gamma_n) & \theta_b(\gamma_u) \\ \theta_m(\gamma_a) & \theta_m(\gamma_b) & \theta_m(\gamma_m) & \theta_m(\gamma_n) & \theta_m(\gamma_u) \\ \theta_n(\gamma_a) & \theta_n(\gamma_b) & \theta_n(\gamma_m) & \theta_n(\gamma_n) & \theta_n(\gamma_u) \\ \theta_u(\gamma_a) & \theta_u(\gamma_b) & \theta_u(\gamma_m) & \theta_u(\gamma_n) & \theta_u(\gamma_u) \end{vmatrix}$$

Result

- The two algorithms also works!
- Nine distinct geminals has been found, and here are the detailed results:

Geminals	Before	After
$(0, 1), (1, 2), (0)$	6	6
$(0, 1), (1, 2), (1)$	6	6
$(0, 1), (0, 2), (3)$	24	24
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (1)$	24	14
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (4)$	120	38
$(0, 1), (2, 3), (3)$	24	14
$(0, 1), (0, 1), (1)$	2	2
$(0, 1), (0, 2), (1)$	6	2
$(0, 1), (0, 2), (2)$	6	6

Conclusion

- We have eliminated overall 840 cases from 1154 cases, and established sufficient data to move to the next step of computing those geminals.
- In the next step, all those cases will be reduced one more time down to where we can use the reduction properties to compute them.