Fall 2012-13_Math 1200

No. of responses = 370



Overall indicators

Global Index

- 2. Instructor Evaluation
- 3. Course Evaluation



av.=3.68 dev.=1.34

av.=3.64


dev.=1.34

av.=3.6 dev.=1.34

Survey Results


Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses av.=Mean md=Median dev.=Std. Dev. ab.=Abstention

2. Instructor Evaluation




Instructor made herself or himself available for assistance outside of class.



n=367 av.=4.09 md=5 dev.=1.19

3. Course Evaluation


Outside class activities (readings, assignments, homework, problem sets, etc.) helped me to understand the subject.



n=370 av.=3.71 md=4


dev.=1.37

In-class activities (lecture, discussion, handouts, group-work, etc.) contributed to my understanding of the subject.




n=365 av.=3.37 md=4dev.=1.48

This course challenged me intellectually.



n=370 av.=3.69 md=4 dev.=1.3


Course grading criteria were communicated clearly.



av.=3.75 md=4 dev.=1.32


n=369

Course objectives were met.



4. Additional Questions


4.1) Instructor encouraged participation.



av.=3.61 md=4 dev.=1.36


n=368

Instructor was respectful to students.




av.=4.18 md=5 dev.=1.2

Examinations were a good test of my knowledge.




av.=3.66 md=4 dev.=1.4

Overall, considering its content, design and structure, this course was excellent.



av.=3.24 md=3 dev.=1.48

Instructor was an effective teacher.



10/15/2013

Profile


Compilation:

Fall 2012-13_Math 1200

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Instructor Evaluation


- 2.1) Instructor created an environment that was conducive to learning.
- 2.2) Instructor gave clear explanations.
- 2.3) Instructor used helpful examples and illustrations.
- 2.4) Instructor consistently followed grading criteria.
- .5) Instructor provided useful feedback.
- ^{2.6)} Instructor provided timely feedback.
- 2.7) Instructor made herself or himself available for assistance outside of class.



- n=370 av.=3.55md=4.0@lev.=1.33
- n=370 av.=3.11md=3.0@dev.=1.47
- n=367 av.=3.41md=4.0@lev.=1.41
- n=369 av.=3.92md=4.0@dev.=1.26
- n=367 av.=3.35md=4.0@dev.=1.41
- n=369 av.=3.75md=4.00dev.=1.32
- n=367 av.=4.09md=5.00dev.=1.19

3. Course Evaluation


- 3.1) Outside class activities (readings, assignments, homework, problem sets, etc.) helped me to understand the subject.
- 3.2) In-class activities (lecture, discussion, handouts, group-work, etc.) contributed to my understanding of the subject.
- ^{3.3)} This course challenged me intellectually.
- 3.4) Course grading criteria were communicated clearly.
- 3.5) Course objectives were met.



- n=370 av.=3.71md=4.0@dev.=1.37
- n=365 av.=3.37md=4.0@dev.=1.48
- n=370 av.=3.69md=4.00dev.=1.30
- n=369 av.=3.75md=4.00dev.=1.32
- n=369 av.=3.91md=4.0@lev.=1.24

4. Additional Questions

- 4.1) Instructor encouraged participation.
- 4.2) Instructor was respectful to students.
- 4.3) Examinations were a good test of my knowledge.
- 4.4) Overall, considering its content, design and structure, this course was excellent.
- 4.5) Instructor was an effective teacher.



- n=368 av.=3.61md=4.0@dev.=1.36
- n=368 av.=4.18md=5.00dev.=1.20
- n=368 av.=3.66md=4.00dev.=1.40
- n=368 av.=3.24md=3.00dev.=1.48
- n=367 av.=3.26md=3.00dev.=1.54