



Survey Evaluation Results

Dear MARTIN MOHLENKAMP,

In this report you will find course evaluations for the Spring Semester of the 2013-2014 academic year. The overall indicator is listed first. It consists of the following scales:

- Instructor Evaluation
- Course Evaluation

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales mentioned above.

In the second part of the analysis the average values of all individual questions are listed.

Your Class Climate Administrator
Kelly Pero




Overall indicators

Global Index

2. Instructor Evaluation


3. Course Evaluation



Survey Results

Legend


Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
md=Median
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

2. Instructor Evaluation


2.1) Instructor created an environment that was conducive to learning.




2.2) Instructor gave clear explanations.




2.3) Instructor used helpful examples and illustrations.




2.4) Instructor consistently followed grading criteria.




2.5) Instructor provided useful feedback.



2.6) Instructor provided timely feedback.




2.7) Instructor made herself or himself available for assistance outside of class.




3. Course Evaluation


3.1) Outside class activities (readings, assignments, homework, problem sets, etc.) helped me to understand the subject.




3.2) In-class activities (lecture, discussion, handouts, group-work, etc.) contributed to my understanding of the subject.




3.3) This course challenged me intellectually.



3.4) Course grading criteria were communicated clearly.




3.5) Course objectives were met.




4. Additional Questions


4.1) Instructor encouraged participation.




4.2) Instructor was respectful to students.




4.3) Examinations were a good test of my knowledge.



4.4) Overall, considering its content, design and structure, this course was excellent.



4.5) Instructor was an effective teacher.




Profile


Subunit: **A&S-MATH**
 Name of the instructor: **MARTIN MOHLENKAMP**
 Name of the course: **Statistical Computing (MATH4530100_2145_Regular)**
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean


2. Instructor Evaluation



3. Course Evaluation



4. Additional Questions



Comments Report

5. Open Response

5.1) What do you consider to be the greatest **STRENGTH** of the **INSTRUCTOR**?

- Flawless organization and preparation of the course.
- Very knowledgeable about coding.

5.2) What do you consider to be the greatest **WEAKNESS** of the **INSTRUCTOR**? Suggestions for improvement?

- Didn't actually teach the class. Would help only when we were struggling.

5.3) What do you consider to be the greatest **STRENGTH** of the **COURSE**? (texts, content, etc.)?

- Course oriented by DIY (Do It Yourself) learning. Not for students that are used with "spoon fed" information.
- Prepared me for a future job.

5.4) What do you consider to be the greatest **WEAKNESS** of the **COURSE**? Suggestions for improvement?

- Tedious. And the online server was hard to work with
- The use of a non-stable cloud service (SageMathCloud). In my opinion, it would be better to teach the students how to install R on their computers than use this service.