

Nonnegative Group-Monotone Matrices and the Minus Partial Order

Brian Blackwood^a and S. K. Jain^b

^a*Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA*

Email : blackwood@math.ohiou.edu

^b*Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA*

Email : jain@ohio.edu

Abstract: Bapat, Jain and Snyder previously described a class of nonnegative matrices dominated by a nonnegative idempotent matrix under the minus partial order. In this paper, we improve upon that description by first presenting a more general result that gives the precise structure of nonnegative matrices dominated by a group-monotone matrix under the minus partial order. As a special case we derive the complete class of nonnegative matrices dominated by a nonnegative idempotent matrix that includes the class obtained by Bapat et.al.

1 Introduction

Matrix partial orders have proven to be a fruitful area of research. In this paper, our focus is on the minus partial order studied by several authors. We continue our investigation into the structure of nonnegative matrices A that are dominated by a given nonnegative λ -monotone matrix B under the minus partial order. The present paper studies the case when B is a nonnegative matrix that possesses a nonnegative group inverse. In [1], Bapat-Jain-Snyder considered the case when the matrix B is an idempotent matrix.

We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for a nonnegative matrix A dominated by a nonnegative matrix B that has a nonnegative group inverse (Theorem 6). In the special case when B is an idempotent matrix, Theorem 8 provides an explicit description of the class of nonnegative matrices A dominated by a nonnegative idempotent matrix B .

2 Definitions and Preliminary Results

In this paper, all matrices have real entries. A matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is *nonnegative* if $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j which is denoted $A \geq 0$. Likewise, $A = [a_{ij}]$ is *positive* if $a_{ij} > 0$ for all i, j which is written $A > 0$. The *transpose* of A is denoted by A^T .

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. Consider the following equations: (1) $AXA = A$, (2) $XAX = X$, (3) $(AX)^T = AX$, (4) $(XA)^T = XA$ and (5) $AX = XA$ where X is an $n \times m$ matrix and T denotes the *transpose*. For a matrix A and a non-empty subset λ of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, X is called a λ -*inverse* of A if X satisfies

equations (i) for $i \in \lambda$. A $\{1\}$ -inverse of A will be written as A^- . The system of equations (1), (2) and (5) has a unique solution X , called the group inverse of A , if and only if $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(A^2)$. The group inverse of A is denoted by $A^\#$. A matrix A is *group monotone* if $A^\#$ exists and is nonnegative.

A matrix J is a direct sum of matrices J_1, \dots, J_r , written $J = J_1 \oplus \dots \oplus J_r$, if

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & J_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

If A, B are $m \times n$ matrices then we say that A is dominated by B in the minus partial order, written $A \leq^- B$, if $\text{rank } B = \text{Rank } A + \text{rank}(B - A)$. Theorem 1 will provide us with several equivalent statements.

We begin by stating some of the known facts and preliminary results that are referred to throughout the paper.

Theorem 1 ([8], Lemma 1.2) *Let A and B be $m \times n$ matrices. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. $A \leq^- B$
2. There exists a $\{1\}$ -inverse A^- of A such that $(B - A)A^- = 0$ and $A^-(B - A) = 0$.
3. Every $\{1\}$ -inverse of B is a $\{1\}$ -inverse of A .
4. Every $\{1\}$ -inverse B^- of B satisfies $AB^-(B - A) = 0$ and $(B - A)B^-A = 0$. In other words, the parallel sum of A and $B - A$ is zero.

Theorem 2 ([4], Theorem 2). *If E is a nonnegative idempotent matrix of rank r , then there exists a permutation matrix P such that*

$$PEP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where all the diagonal blocks are square; J is a direct sum of matrices $x_i y_i^T$, $x_i > 0$, $y_i > 0$ and $y_i^T x_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$; and C, D are nonnegative matrices of suitable sizes.

Lemma 3 ([1], Lemma 3) Let A, E be $n \times n$ matrices such that $E^2 = E$, and suppose that $A \leq^- E$. Then A is idempotent and $AE = A = EA$.

The next lemma gives the converse when A is also an idempotent.

Lemma 4 Let A and B be $n \times n$ idempotent matrices. $A \leq^- B$ if and only if $AB = A = BA$.

Proof. Let A, B be idempotent where $AB = A = BA$. As A is idempotent, $AAA = A^2A = AA = A^2 = A$ so A is its own $\{1\}$ -inverse. Now by Theorem 1, we will prove the equivalent condition that there exists a $\{1\}$ -inverse A^- of A such that $(B - A)A^- = 0$ and $A^-(B - A) = 0$ to show that $A \leq^- B$. So choose $A^- = A^-$. Then $(B - A)A^- = (B - A)A = BA - A^2 = BA - A = 0$ as $BA = A$. Also $A^-(B - A) = A(B - A) = AB - A^2 = AB - A = 0$ as $AB = A$. Thus by Theorem 1, $A \leq^- B$ as required. The converse follows by the previous lemma. ■

Theorem 5 ([6], Theorem 5.2) Let A be a nonnegative matrix and let $A^{(1,2)} = p(A) \geq 0$, where $p(A) = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i A^{m_i}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$. Then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where C, D are nonnegative matrices of appropriate sizes and J is a direct sum of matrices of the following types (not necessarily both):

(I) βxy^T , where x and y are positive unit vectors with $y^T x = 1$ and β is a positive root of $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i t^{m_i+1} = 1$

$$(II) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12} x_1 y_2^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23} x_2 y_3^T & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \beta_{d-1,d} x_{d-1} y_d^T \\ \beta_{d1} x_d y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where x_i, y_i are positive unit vectors of the same order with $y_i^T x_i = 1$; x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$ are not necessarily of the same order. $\beta_{12}, \dots, \beta_{d1}$ are arbitrary positive numbers with $d > 1$ and $d \mid m_i + 1$ for some m_i such that the product $\beta_{12} \beta_{23} \cdots \beta_{d1}$ is a common root of the following system of at most d equations in t

$$\sum_{d \in \Lambda_0} \alpha_i t^{\frac{(m_i+1)}{d}} = 1$$

$$\sum_{d \in \Lambda_k} \alpha_i t^{\frac{(m_i+1-k)}{d}} = 0 \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$$

where

$$\Lambda_k = \{d : d \mid m_i + 1 - k, d \neq 1\} \quad k \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$$

with the understanding that if some $\Lambda_k = \emptyset$ then the corresponding equation is absent.

Conversely, suppose we have, for some permutation matrix P ,

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where C, D are arbitrary nonnegative matrices of appropriate sizes and J is a direct sum of matrices of the following types (not necessarily both)

(I') βxy^T , $\beta > 0$ where x, y are positive vectors with $y^T x = 1$.

$$(II') \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1y_2^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2y_3^T & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \beta_{d-1,d}x_{d-1}y_d^T \\ \beta_{d1}x_dy_1^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\beta_{ij} \geq 0$, x_i and y_i are positive vectors with $y_i^T x_i = 1$. Then $A^{(1,2)} \geq 0$ and is equal to some polynomial in A with scalar coefficients.

3 Group-Monotone Matrices

Theorem 6 Let A, B be $n \times n$ nonnegative matrices such that $B^\# \geq 0$, rank $B = r$ and rank $A = s$. Then $A \leq^- B$ if and only if there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PBP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CA_{11} & CA_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where C, D are nonnegative matrices of appropriate sizes and J is a direct sum of the following types (not necessarily both):

(I) βxy^T , where x and y are positive unit vectors with $y^T x = 1, \beta > 0$.

$$(II) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1y_2^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2y_3^T & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \beta_{d-1,d}x_{d-1}y_d^T \\ \beta_{d1}x_dy_1^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\beta_{ij} > 0$ where x_i, y_i are positive unit vectors of the same order with $y_i^T x_i = 1$; x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$ are not necessarily of the same order and

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1} & \alpha_{r2} & \cdots & \alpha_{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_{ij} \geq 0$ and $\text{rank } A_{11} + \text{rank } (J - A_{11}) = \text{rank } J$.

Proof. Let A, B be $n \times n$ nonnegative matrices such that $\text{rank } B = r$ and $\text{rank } A = s$, $s \leq r$. As $B^\# \geq 0$, by Theorem 5 [1] there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PBP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where J is of type (I) or (II). For any $\{1\}$ -inverse B^- of B , $PBP^T = PBB^-BP^T = PBP^T PB^-P^T PBP^T$. Clearly we can choose

$$PB^-P^T = \begin{bmatrix} J^- & J^-D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ^- & CJ^-D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

where J^- is a $\{1\}$ -inverse of J .

As $A \leq^- B$, by Theorem 1 [8], $AB^-B = AB^-A = BB^-A = A$. Partitioning PAP^T in conformity with PBP^T yields

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now $PBB^-AP^T = PBP^T PB^-P^T PAP^T = PAP^T$ gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J^- & J^-D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ^- & CJ^-D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} JJ^-A_{11} + JJ^-DA_{21} & JJ^-A_{12} + JJ^-DA_{22} & JJ^-A_{13} + JJ^-DA_{23} & JJ^-A_{14} + JJ^-DA_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJJ^-A_{11} + CJJ^-DA_{21} & CJJ^-A_{12} + CJJ^-DA_{22} & CJJ^-A_{13} + CJJ^-DA_{23} & CJJ^-A_{14} + CJJ^-DA_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then it follows that $A_{2j} = 0$ and $A_{4j} = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Similarly, $PAB^{-}BP^T = PAP^T PB^{-}P^T PBP^T = PAP^T$ gives, $A_{i3} = 0$ and $A_{i4} = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus

$$PAB^{-}BP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}J^{-}J & A_{11}J^{-}JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{31}J^{-}J & A_{31}J^{-}JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } PBB^{-}AP^T = \begin{bmatrix} JJ^{-}A_{11} & JJ^{-}A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJJ^{-}A_{11} & CJJ^{-}A_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $PAB^{-}BP^T = PAP^T = PBB^{-}AP^T$.

We will now use the following equations to determine PAP^T :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} &= A_{11}J^{-}J = JJ^{-}A_{11} \\ A_{12} &= A_{11}J^{-}JD = JJ^{-}A_{12} \\ A_{31} &= A_{31}J^{-}J = CJJ^{-}A_{11} \\ A_{32} &= A_{31}J^{-}JD = CJJ^{-}A_{12} \end{aligned}$$

From the relations above, $A_{11} = A_{11}J^{-}J = JJ^{-}A_{11}$, $A_{12} = A_{11}D$, $A_{31} = CA_{11}$, and $A_{32} = CJJ^{-}A_{12} = CA_{11}J^{-}JD = CA_{11}D$. As a result,

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CA_{11} & CA_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim: $A_{11} \leq^{-} J$ or equivalently $\text{rank}(J) = \text{Rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(J - A_{11})$. First note that $\text{rank}(J) = \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank}(PBP^T)$ and $\text{rank}(A_{11}) = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(PAP^T)$. Because $\text{rank}(PBP^T) = \text{rank}(PAP^T) + \text{rank}(PBP^T - PAP^T)$ it follows that $\text{rank}(J) = \text{rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(J - A_{11})$. Thus we obtain $A_{11} \leq^{-} J$.

Recall that $A_{11} = A_{11}J^{-}J = JJ^{-}A_{11} = A_{11}J^{-}A_{11}$ where J is a direct sum of matrices of type (I) and (II). Now if J' is any type (I) summand of J , then $J' = \beta xy^T$ where β is a positive scalar and x, y are positive unit vectors. Choose $(J')^{-} = \frac{1}{\beta}xy^T$. Thus

$$(J')^{-}J = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}xy^T\right)(\beta xy^T) = xy^T = (\beta xy^T)\left(\frac{1}{\beta}xy^T\right) = J(J')^{-}$$

Now if J'' is any type (II) summand of J , then

$$J'' = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1y_2^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2y_3^T & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \beta_{d-1,d}x_{d-1}y_d^T \\ \beta_{d1}x_dy_1^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some positive integer d . Now

$$(J'')^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{\beta_{a1}}x_1y_d^T \\ \frac{1}{\beta_{12}}x_2y_1^T & 0 & & & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\beta_{23}}x_3y_2^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{\beta_{d-1,d}}x_dy_{d-1}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(J'')(J'')^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_dy_d^T \end{bmatrix} = (J'')^{-}(J'').$$

Thus

$$J^{-}J = JJ^{-} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_ry_r^T \end{bmatrix}$$

is a block diagonal matrix such that each diagonal block is of rank one where the summands of J are of type (I) , (II) , or both. Now partition A_{11} in conformity with $J^{-}J = JJ^{-}$. So

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} A'_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ A'_{r1} & \cdots & \cdots & A'_{rr} \end{bmatrix}.$$

From $A_{11}J^{-}J = A_{11} = JJ^{-}A_{11}$, it follows that $x_iy_i^T A'_{ij} = A'_{ij} = A'_{ij}x_jy_j^T$. Clearly, each A'_{ij} must be of rank 0 or 1. Now $A'_{ij} = x_iy_i^T A'_{ij}x_jy_j^T$. Thus, we may write $A'_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}x_iy_j^T$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{11} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11}x_1y_1^T & \alpha_{12}x_1y_2^T & \cdots & \alpha_{1r}x_1y_r^T \\ \alpha_{21}x_2y_1^T & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1}x_ry_1^T & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{rr}x_ry_r^T \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \alpha_{21} & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

The converse is clear. ■

The following example demonstrates that when $A \leq^- B$, with $B^\#$ nonnegative, $A^\#$ need not be nonnegative.

Example 7 Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where $\text{rank}(B) =$

3 and $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. Obviously both $A, B \geq 0$. Now $B^\# = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$.

We proceed to show that A is of the form stated in the theorem and $A \leq^- B$.

There exists a permutation matrix $P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ such that

$$PBP^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } C, D = 0,$$

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ is a type (II) matrix}$$

$$\text{and } A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{In addition, } (J - A_{11}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\text{rank } A_{11} + \text{rank } (J - A_{11}) = 2 + 1 = 3 = \text{rank } J$. Thus $A \leq^- B$. Furthermore, $\text{rank } (A^2) = 2 = \text{rank } (A)$ and so $A^\#$ exists.

$$\text{But } A^\# = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \not\geq 0.$$

4 Idempotent Matrices

As a special case of the previous theorem, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions that give the structure of a nonnegative matrix A satisfying $A \leq^- B$ where B is a nonnegative idempotent. The condition obtained in this situation is simpler to verify.

Theorem 8 *Let A, B be $n \times n$ nonnegative matrices such that $B^2 = B$, $\text{rank } B = r$ and $\text{rank } A = s$. Then $A \leq^- B$ if and only if there exists a permutation matrix P such that*

$$PBP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CA_{11} & CA_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where C, D are nonnegative matrices of appropriate sizes and J is a direct sum of matrices $x_i y_i^T$ where x_i, y_i are positive unit vectors of the same order with $y_i^T x_i = 1$; x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$ are not necessarily of the same order and

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}$$

where E is a nonnegative idempotent $r \times r$ matrix.

Proof. As $B \geq 0$ and B is idempotent, B is its own group inverse. By assumption $A \leq^- B$ and so by Theorem 6

$$PBP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJD & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CA_{11} & CA_{11}D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where C, D are nonnegative matrices of appropriate sizes and J is a direct sum of matrices $x_i y_i^T$ where x_i, y_i are positive unit vectors of the same order with

$y_i^T x_i = 1$; x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$ are not necessarily of the same order and

$$A_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1} & \alpha_{r2} & \cdots & \alpha_{rr} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

As B is idempotent, it follows from Lemma 3 that A is idempotent and hence PAP^T is idempotent. Thus $A_{11}^2 = A_{11}$ because $y_i^T x_i = 1$. Furthermore, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \text{ has a left inverse and } \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ has a right inverse,}$$

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1r} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r1} & \alpha_{r2} & \cdots & \alpha_{rr} \end{bmatrix}$$

is also an idempotent.

To prove the converse, we first show that $A_{11} \leq^- J$, i.e. $\text{rank}(J) = \text{rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(J - A_{11})$. We have by assumption that $\text{rank } B = r$ and $\text{rank } A = s$. It follows that $\text{rank } PBP^T = r$ and $\text{rank } PAP^T = s$. Now as the $\text{rank } PBP^T$ is completely determined by J and $\text{rank } PAP^T$ is completely determined by A_{11} , $\text{rank } J = r$ and $\text{rank } A_{11} = s$. Now $J - A_{11} =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r y_r^T \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} E \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} [I - E] \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} [I - E] \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \right) \leq \text{rank}([I - E]).$$

But as

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} [I - E] \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} = [I - E],$$

$$\text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r \end{bmatrix} [I - E] \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_r^T \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{rank}([I - E]).$$

Since an idempotent matrix is diagonalizable, there exists an invertible matrix U such that

$$U^{-1}EU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where there are exactly s 1's ones along the diagonal as $\text{rank } E = s$. Now

$$U^{-1}[I - E]U = U^{-1}IU - U^{-1}EU = I - U^{-1}EU = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & & 0 & 1 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Hence the } \text{rank}(J - A_{11}) =$$

$r - s$. Thus $\text{rank}(J) = r = s + (r - s) = \text{rank}(A_{11}) + \text{rank}(J - A_{11})$. This yields $A_{11} \leq^- J$ and hence $A \leq^- B$ as required. ■

We present the following example to demonstrate the structure described in the previous theorem. In this case, B is a doubly stochastic idempotent matrix.

Example 9 Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Clearly $A \leq^-$

B . Following the notation in the theorem $P = I$. We may express

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 y_2^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 y_3^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_2^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_3^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and clearly } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is an idempotent.

References

- [1] R. B. Bapat, S. K. Jain, L. E. Snyder, Nonnegative idempotent matrices and minus partial order, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 261 (1997) 143–154.
- [2] A. Ben-Israel, T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, second ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons, *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*, first ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.
- [4] P. Flor, On groups of nonnegative matrices, *Compositio. Math.* 21 (1969) 376–382.
- [5] R. E. Hartwig, How to partially order regular elements, *Math. Japon.* 25 (1980) 1-13.
- [6] S.K. Jain, Linear systems having nonnegative best approximate solutions - a survey, in: *Algebra and its Applications*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 91, Dekker, 1984, pp. 99–132.

- [7] S.K. Jain, E.K. Kwak, V.K. Goel, Decomposition of nonnegative group-monotone matrices, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 257 (2) (1980) 371–385.
- [8] S.K. Mitra, Matrix partial orders through generalized inverses: Unified theory, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 148 (1991) 237–263.
- [9] S.K. Mitra, P.L. Odell, On parallel summability of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 74 (1986) 239–255.
- [10] K. S. S. Nambooripad, The natural partial order on a regular semigroup, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 23 (1980) 249-260.
- [11] C.R. Rao, S.K. Mitra, *Generalized Inverse of Matrices and Its Applications*, first ed., Wiley, New York, 1971.