

Nonnegative Rank Factorization of a Nonnegative Matrix A with $A^\dagger A \geq 0$

S.K. JAIN^{a,*} and JOHN TYNAN^{b,†}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA; ^bDepartment of Mathematics, Marietta College, Marietta, OH 45750, USA

Communicated by R.B. Bapat

(Received 1 April 2002; in final form 5 July 2002)

In this article we obtain a nonnegative rank factorization of nonnegative matrices A satisfying one or both of the following conditions: (i) $AA^\dagger \geq 0$ (ii) $A^\dagger A \geq 0$, thus providing a new set of conditions that guarantee the existence of a nonnegative least-squares solution of a linear system. Indeed, the characterization of such matrices improves some of the previous known conditions for the existence of a nonnegative least-squares solution of a linear system.

Keywords: Idempotent matrices; Least-squares solution; Moore–Penrose inverse; Nonnegative rank factorization

2000 Mathematics Subject Classifications: Primary 15A23; 15A48

1 INTRODUCTION

A matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is called nonnegative if $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for all i, j and this is expressed as $A \geq 0$. The i th row of a matrix A is denoted by $A_{(i)}$.

Let A be an $n \times m$ matrix. Consider the Penrose Equations, (1) $AXA = A$, (2) $XAX = X$, (3) $(AX)^T = AX$, (4) $(XA)^T = XA$ where X is an $m \times n$ matrix and T denotes the transpose.

For a rectangular matrix A and for a nonempty subset λ of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, X is called a λ -inverse of A if X satisfies Eq. (i) for each $i \in \lambda$. In particular, the $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ -inverse of A is the unique Moore–Penrose generalized inverse and is denoted A^\dagger . Nonnegative matrices having nonnegative Moore–Penrose inverses have been characterized previously by Berman and Plemmons [2,3]. The motivation of the study of λ -monotone matrices, i.e., matrices having a nonnegative λ -inverse, has its origin in the question of finding a nonnegative solution of the linear system $AX = B$ (see for example [6–8]), where A and B are $n \times n$ matrices. It is clear that for suitable λ , $A^{(\lambda)} \geq 0$ is simply a

*Corresponding author. E-mail: jain@bing.math.ohiou.edu

†E-mail: tynanj@marietta.edu

sufficient condition for the existence of a nonnegative best approximate solution. If B for example is $p(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i A^i$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, then one may weaken the condition for $A^\dagger \geq 0$ to the condition that $A^\dagger A \geq 0$. Furthermore, Corollary 5 shows that if both AA^\dagger and $A^{(1)} \geq 0$, then the linear system $Ax = b$ has a nonnegative least-squares solution; and the class of such matrices A is larger than the class of matrices A with $A^\dagger \geq 0$.

Section 2 establishes the main results. We conclude with an example of a nonnegative matrix A such that AA^\dagger and $A^\dagger A$ are nonnegative, but A^\dagger is not nonnegative (contains negative entries).

2 MAIN RESULTS

We state first the following well-known result due to Flor characterizing nonnegative idempotent matrices.

LEMMA 1 (Flor [5]) *If E is any nonnegative idempotent matrix then there exists a permutation matrix P such that*

$$PEP^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & JB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ CJ & CJB & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r y_r^T \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i, y_i are positive vectors with $y_i^T x_i = 1$, and matrices $B, C \geq 0$ and the zeros in the matrices are zero blocks of appropriate size. In particular, if E is symmetric, then

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r x_r^T \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i is a positive unit vector.

We now present the decomposition theorem for nonnegative matrices A with $AA^\dagger \geq 0$.

THEOREM 2 *Let A be a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix of rank r . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an $A^{(1,3)}$ such that $AA^{(1,3)} \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *There exists a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = FG$,*

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & x_r \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i is a positive unit vector, and the zeros are zero vectors of appropriate size.

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1_1}^T & \cdots & a_{1_{r+1}}^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r_1}^T & \cdots & a_{r_{r+1}}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

where each a_i is a nonnegative vector and $\text{rank } G = r$.

- (iii) $AA^\dagger \geq 0$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): So, assume there exists an $A^{(1,3)}$ such that $AA^{(1,3)} \geq 0$. Since $AA^{(1,3)}$ is a nonnegative symmetric idempotent, by Lemma 1, we have a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAA^{(1,3)}P^T = \begin{bmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r x_r^T \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i is a positive unit vector.

Next, $AA^{(1,3)}A = A$ implies $(PAA^{(1,3)}P^T)(PAP^T) = PAP^T$. We partition PAP^T in conformity with the partitioning of $PAA^{(1,3)}P^T$. So let

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_{r+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{r+1,r+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, $(PAA^{(1,3)}P^T)(PAP^T) = PAP^T$, and so,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & x_r x_r^T & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_{r+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{r+1,r+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_{r+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{r+1,r+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, $(PAA^{(1,3)}P^T)(PAP^T) = PAP^T$ yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 x_1^T A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & x_1 x_1^T A_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_r x_r^T A_{r1} & \cdots & \cdots & x_r x_r^T A_{r,r+1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{1,r+1} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ A_{r+1,1} & \cdots & \cdots & A_{r+1,r+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

This gives us $x_i x_i^T A_{ij} = A_{ij}$ for $i \leq r, j \leq r+1$ and $A_{r+1,j} = 0$ for all $j \leq r+1$.

Next, we claim that in the block partitioning of PAP^T , for each i there exists j such that $A_{i,j}$ is not zero. We know that $\text{rank } PAA^{(1,3)}P^T = \text{rank } A = r$. Write $A_i = [x_i x_i^T A_{i1} \cdots \cdots x_i x_i^T A_{i,r+1}]$, the i th row in the block partitioning of $PAA^{(1,3)}P^T$. Clearly, $\text{rank } A_i \leq 1$. If $\text{rank } A_i = 0$, then we have $\text{rank } A < r$, a contradiction. Thus, $\text{rank } A_i = 1$, and this implies that at least one of the $A_{i,j}$ is not zero.

So let us consider the equation $x_1 x_1^T A_{11} = A_{11}$. Without loss of generality, assume $\text{rank } A_{11} = 1$. Now, $\text{rank } A_{11} = 1$ implies that $A_{11} = b_1 a_1^T$ where $b_1 \geq 0$ and $a_1 \geq 0$, or $b_1 \leq 0$ and $a_1 \leq 0$. We may also assume that a_1 is a unit vector. Then by using $x_1 x_1^T A_{11} = A_{11}$, we get, $x_1 x_1^T b_1 a_1^T = b_1 a_1^T \Rightarrow x_1 x_1^T b_1 a_1^T a_1 = b_1 a_1^T a_1 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 x_1 = b_1$ where $\lambda_1 = x_1^T b_1$. So, $A_{11} = \lambda_1 x_1 a_1^T$ and we define $a_{11}^T = \lambda_1 a_1^T$. Note that if $b_1 \geq 0$ and $a_1 \geq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, while if $b_1 \leq 0$ and $a_1 \leq 0$, then $\lambda_1 \leq 0$, so in either case, we have that a_{11}^T is a nonnegative vector. Then we repeat the same for all equations $x_i x_i^T A_{ij} = A_{ij}$. Thus $A_{ij} = x_i a_{ij}^T$ where a_{ij}^T is a nonnegative vector.

Therefore, we have the following:

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 a_{11}^T & \cdots & \cdots & x_1 a_{1,r+1}^T \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ x_r a_{r1}^T & \cdots & \cdots & x_r a_{r,r+1}^T \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & x_r \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^T & \cdots & a_{1,r+1}^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r1}^T & \cdots & a_{r,r+1}^T \end{bmatrix} = FG \text{ say.}$$

Note that FG is a full-rank factorization of PAP^T . It is clear that $\text{rank } F = r$. Furthermore, because $\text{rank } PAP^T = \text{rank } A = r$, we must have that $\text{rank } G \geq r$. However, G only has r rows, so the rank of G must be r . Therefore, we have a nonnegative full-rank factorization of PAP^T . Thus, we have shown (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

Before we prove the next part of the theorem, we make the following remark. As argued above, no row of G is entirely a zero row. Therefore, in each row of G , there is at least one nonzero vector.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): So there exists a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = FG$ as above. We will show that $AA^\dagger \geq 0$. Let $B = PAP^T$. Because FG is a full-rank factorization of B , we have $B^\dagger = G^T(F^T B G^T)^{-1} F^T = G^T(F^T F G G^T)^{-1} F^T$. Now

$$F^T F = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & x_r \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r^T x_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, because each x_i is a unit vector, $x_i^T x_i = 1$. Hence $F^T F = I_r$, the $r \times r$ identity matrix. So we have $B^\dagger = G^T(G G^T)^{-1} F^T$. We first show that $PAP^T P A^\dagger P^T \geq 0$.

Now, $PAP^T P A^\dagger P^T = B B^\dagger = F G G^T (G G^T)^{-1} F^T = F F^T \geq 0$.

Therefore, $P A A^\dagger P^T \geq 0$. Finally, because P is a permutation matrix, $AA^\dagger \geq 0$, proving (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Obvious. ■

We now present an example showing that the class of nonnegative matrices A with $AA^\dagger \geq 0$ is not the same as the class of nonnegative matrices A with $A^\dagger A \geq 0$.

Example 3 Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is of the form described in Theorem 2.

For this matrix,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we can see that

$$AA^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

while

$$A^\dagger A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The characterization of nonnegative matrices A with $A^\dagger A \geq 0$ is presented below without proof as the proof is just the dual of the proof of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4 *Let A be a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix of rank r . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an $A^{(1,4)}$ such that $A^{(1,4)}A \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *There exists a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = FG$,*

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1_1} & \cdots & a_{1_r} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r+1_1} & \cdots & a_{r+1_r} \end{bmatrix},$$

each a_i is a nonnegative vector with rank $F = r$, and

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x_r^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i is a positive unit vector, and the zeros are zero vectors of appropriate size.

- (iii) $A^\dagger A \geq 0$.

We remark that by considering the transpose of the matrix A in Example 3, we have a nonnegative matrix A with $A^\dagger A \geq 0$, but AA^\dagger and A^\dagger are not nonnegative, thus showing that the class of nonnegative matrices A with $A^\dagger A \geq 0$ is not the same as the class of nonnegative matrices A with $AA^\dagger \geq 0$.

In addition, if we know that there exists a nonnegative $A^{(1)}$, then we get the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 5 *Let A be a nonnegative matrix. Under any one of the conditions in Theorem 2, such that G as described in that theorem contains a monomial submatrix of rank r , then the system $Ax = b$, where b is a nonnegative vector, has a nonnegative least-squares solution.*

Proof It is known that a least-squares solution of the linear system $Ax = b$ is given by the consistent linear system $Ax = AA^{(1,3)}b$ (cf. [1], Corollary 1, p. 104). Furthermore,

a solution of $Ax = AA^{(1,3)}b$ is given by $x = A^{(1)}AA^{(1,3)}b$ ([1], Theorem 2, p. 40). Now, by Theorem 2, we have that $AA^{(1,3)} \geq 0$, and by the additional hypothesis on G there exists an $A^{(1)} \geq 0$ ([6], Theorem 4.4). Thus, $A^{(1)}AA^{(1,3)}b$ is a nonnegative least-squares solution of the linear system $Ax = b$. ■

To show that the class of matrices described in Corollary 5 is larger than the class of nonnegative matrices A with $A^\dagger \geq 0$, we present the following example.

Example 6 Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is of the form described in Corollary 5.
For this matrix,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad AA^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

a nonnegative $\{1\}$ -inverse of A .

This example illustrates the fact that there exists a nonnegative matrix A such that $AA^\dagger \geq 0$, $A^{(1)} \geq 0$ but A^\dagger is not necessarily nonnegative.

We now characterize nonnegative matrices A with $AA^\dagger \geq 0$ and $A^\dagger A \geq 0$ in the following theorem.

Note that the proof seems very complicated considering that we have already characterized nonnegative matrices A with either $AA^\dagger \geq 0$ or $A^\dagger A \geq 0$. This is due to

the factorization not being unique as well as the fact that we would have different permutation matrices in each decomposition.

THEOREM 7 *Let A be a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix of rank r . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *There exists an $A^{(1,3)}$ and an $A^{(1,4)}$ such that $AA^{(1,3)} \geq 0$ and $A^{(1,4)}A \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *There exists permutation matrices P and P_1 such that $PAP^T = FG$, where F and G are as in Theorem 2 and we have that*

$$GP_1^T = [z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r] \begin{bmatrix} a_{1_1}^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{1_r}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where each $a_{i_1}^T$, and z_i are nonnegative vectors and $[z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r]$ is invertible.

- (iii) $AA^\dagger \geq 0$ and $A^\dagger A \geq 0$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii): So $AA^{(1,3)} \geq 0$ and $A^{(1,4)}A \geq 0$ for some $A^{(1,3)}$ and $A^{(1,4)}$. By Theorem 2 there exists a permutation matrix P such that $PAP^T = FG$, where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & x_r \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

each x_i is a positive unit vector, and the zeros are zero vectors of appropriate size.

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1_1}^T & \cdots & a_{1_{r+1}}^T \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r_1}^T & \cdots & a_{r_{r-1}}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

where each a_{i_j} is a nonnegative vector and $\text{rank } G = r$. Again, let $B = PAP^T$ and so $B^\dagger = G^T(GG^T)^{-1}F^T$, since $F^T F = I$. Therefore, $B^\dagger B = G^T(GG^T)^{-1}F^T F G = G^T(GG^T)^{-1}G$. Now because we have the additional assumption that $A^{(1,4)}A \geq 0$, $B^\dagger B = PA^\dagger P^T PAP^T = PA^\dagger AP^T \geq 0$ also. Furthermore, because $B^\dagger B$ is a symmetric idempotent we have a permutation matrix P_1 such that

$$P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$J_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 x'^T_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & x'_r x'^T_r \end{bmatrix}$$

each x'_i positive unit vectors. Note the number of (positive) blocks on the diagonal is r because $\text{rank } J_1 = \text{rank } A^\dagger A$.

Now, we also have that

$$P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T = P_1 G^T (G G^T)^{-1} G P_1^T = P_1 G^T (G P_1^T P_1 G^T)^{-1} G P_1^T.$$

So, let $G_1 = G P_1^T$. Then $P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T = G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1$.

Consider $P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T$. Each diagonal block $x'_i x'^T_i$ is rank 1. Let x'_i be an $l_i \times 1$ vector. The rows of $P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T$ in each of the r sets that contain rows 1 through l_1 , rows $l_1 + 1$ through $l_1 + l_2, \dots$, rows $l_1 + \cdots + l_{r-1} + 1$ through $l_1 + \cdots + l_r$, are multiples of each other, and the rows $l_1 + \cdots + l_r + 1$ through $l_1 + \cdots + l_{r+1}$ are rows of zeros. Therefore, the same must be true of $G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1$.

Note that, in general, we have for any matrices C, D $(CD)_{(i)} = C_{(i)} D$ where $X_{(i)}$ denotes the i th row of matrix X . Therefore, we have the following:

$(G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)_{(i)} = (G_1^T)_{(i)} ((G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)$ for all i . And, we know that the second row is a multiple of the first, so we have that $(G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)_{(2)} = \lambda_2 (G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)_{(1)}$ for some positive number λ_2 .

Therefore, we have that $(G_1^T)_{(2)} ((G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1) = (G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)_{(2)} = \lambda_2 (G_1^T (G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)_{(1)} = \lambda_2 (G_1^T)_{(1)} ((G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)$.

Thus, we get $(G_1^T)_{(2)} ((G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1) = \lambda_2 (G_1^T)_{(1)} ((G_1 G_1^T)^{-1} G_1)$, and so we multiply both sides of this equation by G_1^T on the right to get that $(G_1^T)_{(2)} = \lambda_2 (G_1^T)_{(1)}$. Continuing this process we obtain that the rows in each of the r sets consisting of rows 1 through l_1 , rows $l_1 + 1$ through $l_1 + l_2, \dots$, rows $l_1 + \cdots + l_{r-1} + 1$ through $l_1 + \cdots + l_r$, of G_1^T are multiples of each other.

Also, we know that the last l_{r+1} rows of $P_1 B^\dagger B P_1^T$ are rows of zeros, and exactly as above, we get that the last l_{r+1} rows of G_1^T are rows of zeros.

This gives us that

$$G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_1} & \cdots & a'_{r_1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a'_{1_r} & \cdots & a'_{r_r} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the rows of the submatrix $[a'_{1_i} \ a'_{2_i} \ \cdots \ a'_{r_i}]$ are multiples of each other, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Hence, we have $\text{rank } [a'_{1_i} \ a'_{2_i} \ \cdots \ a'_{r_i}] = 1$. Therefore, we have that the

columns of $[a'_{1_i} \ a'_{2_i} \ \cdots \ a'_{r_i}]$ are all multiples of a nonzero column. For convenience, let us assume $a'_{1_i} \neq 0$. Then

$$[a'_{1_i} \ a'_{2_i} \ \cdots \ a'_{r_i}] = [a'_{1_i} \ \beta_{2i}a'_{1_i} \ \cdots \ \beta_{ri}a'_{1_i}] = a'_{1_i}[1 \ \beta_{2i} \ \cdots \ \beta_{ri}]$$

where the β_{ji} are nonnegative real numbers. Note that some of them could be zero. So, let $z_i^T = [1 \ \beta_{2i} \ \cdots \ \beta_{ri}]$ and then we have that each z_i is an $r \times 1$ vector and

$$P_1 G^T = G_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_i} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ a'_{1_r} z_r^T \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the zero is a zero block of appropriate size.

So we can rewrite this as

$$GP_1^T = [z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r] \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_i} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a'_{1_r} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we need only to show that $[z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r]$ is invertible. We know that $B^* = G^T(GG^T)^{-1}F^T = B^* = G^T(GP_1^T P_1 G^T)^{-1}F^T$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} GP_1^T P_1 G^T &= [z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r] \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_i} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a'_{1_r} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_i} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & a'_{1_r} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ z_r^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r] \begin{bmatrix} \|a'_{1_i}\|^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \|a'_{1_r}\|^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ z_r^T \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We know that this product is invertible. Each matrix in the product is an $r \times r$ matrix. So the product is an $r \times r$ matrix that is invertible, and hence the product has rank r . But then each matrix in the product has rank $\geq r$, and so each matrix is of rank r . But then, each matrix is invertible. Specifically, $[z_1 \ \cdots \ z_r]$ is invertible. So we have proved (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): By invoking Theorem 2 we have $AA^\dagger \geq 0$. Thus we need only to show that $A^\dagger A \geq 0$. To prove this, we will show that $P_1 P A^\dagger A P^T P_1^T \geq 0$.

First, notice that $P A^\dagger A P^T = G^T (G G^T)^{-1} G$.

Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 P A^\dagger A P^T P_1^T &= P_1 G^T (G P_1^T P_1 G^T)^{-1} G P_1^T \\
&= P_1 G^T \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \cdots & z_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \|a'_{1_1}\|^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \|a'_{1_r}\|^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ z_r^T \end{bmatrix} G P_1^T \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & a'_{1_r} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ z_r^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1^T \\ \vdots \\ z_r^T \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\|a'_{1_1}\|^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{\|a'_{1_r}\|^2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \cdots & z_r \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & \cdots & z_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_1}^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a'_{1_r}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & a'_{1_r} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\|a'_{1_1}\|^2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{1}{\|a'_{1_r}\|^2} \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} a'_{1_1}^T & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a'_{1_r}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this product, all of the matrices are nonnegative, and so the product is nonnegative. Thus, we have shown that $P_1 P A^\dagger A P^T P_1^T \geq 0$ and hence, $A^\dagger A \geq 0$. Proving (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Obvious. ■

We conclude this section with an example that shows that the class of matrices characterized in Theorem 7 is in fact strictly larger than the class of nonnegative matrices A having $A^\dagger \geq 0$.

Example 8 Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this matrix,

$$A^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which has negative entries. However, it is easily verified that

$$AA^\dagger = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$A^\dagger A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

both of which are nonnegative.

This example is of interest for another reason. We find that AA^\dagger and $A^\dagger A$ are nonnegative, with decompositions as a direct sum of three and two blocks of Type *I* respectively. Whereas AA^\dagger is a direct sum of three blocks, $[1], [1]$ and $[0]$, $A^\dagger A$ is a direct sum of two blocks,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $[1]$.

References

- [1] Adi Ben-Israel and T.N.E. Greville (1974). *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*. Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- [2] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons (1994). *Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA.
- [3] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons (1972). Monotonicity and the generalized inverse. *SIAM Journal of Appl. Math.*, **22**, 155–161.
- [4] A. Berman and R.J. Plemmons (1974). Matrix group monotonicity. *Proc. American Math. Society*, **46**, 355–359.
- [5] P. Flor (1969). On groups of nonnegative matrices. *Compositio Math.*, **21**, 376–382.
- [6] S.K. Jain (1984). Linear systems having nonnegative best approximate solutions – a survey. *Algebra and its Applications. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 91, pp. 99–132. Dekker, New York, NY.
- [7] S.K. Jain and V.K. Goel (1980). Nonnegative matrices having nonnegative Drazin pseudo inverses. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **29**, 173–183.
- [8] S.K. Jain, Edward K. Kwak and V.K. Goel (1980). Decomposition of nonnegative group-monotone matrices. *Transactions of the American Math. Society*, **257**(2), 371–385.
- [9] T.L. Markam (1972). Factorizations of nonnegative matrices. *Proc. American Math. Society*, **32**, 45–47.