

Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 1979, Vol. 7, pp. 59-72
 © Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Ltd., 1979
 Printed in Great Britain

Nonnegative Matrices Having Same Nonnegative Moore–Penrose and Group Inverses

S. K. JAIN,*† V. K. GOEL‡ and EDWARD K. KWAK§

(Received August 31, 1977)

Nonnegative matrices A whose Moore–Penrose generalized inverse A^+ is nonnegative and has any one of the three equivalent properties (i) $AA^+ = A^+A$, (ii) $A^+ = A^\#$, the group inverse, (iii) $A^+ = p(A)$, some polynomial in A with scalar coefficients, are characterized. This characterization generalizes known results on nonnegative matrices A whose Moore–Penrose generalized inverse is equal to some power of A .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be an $m \times n$ real matrix. Consider the Penrose [8] equations

$$AXA = A \quad (1)$$

$$XAX = X \quad (2)$$

$$(AX)^T = AX \quad (3)$$

$$(XA)^T = XA \quad (4)$$

where X is an $n \times m$ real matrix and “ T ” denotes the transpose. Consider (in the case that $m = n$) also the equations

$$A^k X A = A^k \quad (1^k)$$

$$AX = XA \quad (5)$$

where k is the smallest positive integer such that $\text{rank } A^k = \text{rank } A^{k+1}$. Let λ be any nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1^k\}$. X is called a λ -inverse of A if X satisfies equation (i) for each $i \in \lambda$. In particular, the $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ -

* Research of S. K. Jain supported by OUR Grant No. 551.

† Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, 45701.

‡ Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435.

§ Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Central State University, Wilberforce, Ohio 45384.

inverse of A is the unique Moore–Penrose generalized inverse and is denoted as A^+ . The $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ -inverse which satisfies (5) must be square. A $\{2, 5, 1^k\}$ -inverse is called Drazin pseudoinverse. Drazin pseudoinverse of a matrix A is unique and is denoted as A^D . A $\{1, 2, 5\}$ -inverse of A (whenever it exists) is called group inverse of A and is denoted as $A^\#$. Clearly, if $A^\#$ exists then $A^\# = A^D$. The characterizations of all nonnegative matrices whose λ -inverse is nonnegative for any subset λ of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ such that $1 \in \lambda$ are given in [3], [4] and [9].

In this paper, our aim is to characterize all nonnegative matrices A whose $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ -inverse exists and is nonnegative. This is equivalent to the characterization of all nonnegative matrices A whose Moore–Penrose generalized inverse A^+ is nonnegative and is equal to some polynomial $p(A)$ in A with scalar coefficients, see [1, p. 164, Theorem 3 and p. 173, Corollary 2]. Matrices having a nonnegative generalized inverse which is equal to some polynomial in A are of importance in numerical analysis. A nonnegative matrix A may have a nonnegative generalized inverse which is not expressible as a polynomial in A . For example, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $A^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $AA^+ \neq A^+A$, showing that A^+ cannot be a polynomial in A . Further, if $p(\lambda)$ is a polynomial with scalar coefficients then the matrix equations $X^+ = p(X)$ may not possess any nonnegative nontrivial solution X such that $X^+ \geq 0$ (Example 3).

Theorem 2 of this paper characterizes all nonnegative matrices A whose Moore–Penrose generalized inverse is a polynomial in A and is followed by numerical examples which illustrate the characterization obtained in the theorem. This theorem generalizes the known results for nonnegative matrices A whose A^+ is A [2] or some power of A [7]. The generalization of Berman's theorem [2] is obtained in [7] by first obtaining nonnegative m th roots of nonnegative idempotent symmetric matrices which is also of independent interest. However, it does not appear possible to invoke either technique given in [2] or root extraction technique obtained in [7] to study the case when A^+ is an arbitrary polynomial.

To study our present question we first obtain nonnegative solutions each of rank r of simultaneous matrix equations

$$XY = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} x_1 y_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & \\ 0 & & x_r y_r^T \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right], \quad XY = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} u_1 v_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & \\ 0 & & u_r v_r^T \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

where $x_i, y_i, u_i,$ and $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ are positive vectors of the same order (Theorem 1). The proof of Theorem 1, among other lemmas, depend on the following two lemmas proved in [7].

LEMMA A [7, Lemma 2] *Let A, C_1, \dots, C_n be nonnegative matrices such that $AC_i = 0$ ($C_i A = 0$), $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $XA + \sum_{i=1}^n C_i Y_i > 0$ ($AX + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i C_i > 0$) for some nonnegative matrices $X, Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $A = 0$ or all C_i 's are zero.*

LEMMA B [7, Lemma 3] *Let $A, B, C,$ and D be nonnegative matrices of orders $m \times n, n \times m, n \times m,$ and $m \times n$ respectively such that $AC = 0 = DB$ and each entry on the diagonal of $BA + CD$ is nonzero. Then the j -th column of A is zero if and only if the j -th row of B is zero.*

If in addition, $AB = 0$, then $A = 0 = B$.

If a matrix A is a direct sum of matrices A_i , then A_i shall be called summands of A . The diagonal of any matrix shall mean the main diagonal and a vector shall mean a column vector. A matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ will be called 0-symmetric if it satisfies the following: $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $a_{ji} = 0$. Clearly, every positive matrix and every symmetric matrix is 0-symmetric. We shall denote the set of all permutations on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by S_n . For any matrix A , $|A|$ denotes the determinant of A . For all other terminology and notations the reader is referred to [1].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

LEMMA 1 *A nonnegative solution of simultaneous matrix equations*

$$XY = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ 0 & X_r \end{bmatrix}, \quad YX = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & 0 \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ 0 & Y_r \end{bmatrix}$$

where X_i, Y_i are positive square matrices of the same orders, is of the form

$$X = (A_{ij}), \quad Y = (B_{jk}), \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq r$$

where the matrix blocks A_{ij} and B_{jk} have the following properties:

- i) A_{ii}, B_{ii} are square matrices of the same order as that of X_i .
- ii) $A_{i\sigma(i)} \neq 0, B_{\sigma(i)i} \neq 0, A_{ik} = 0 = B_{ki} \forall k \neq \sigma(i), 1 \leq i \leq r,$ for some $\sigma \in S_r$.
- iii) $A_{i\sigma(i)} B_{\sigma(i)i} = X_i, B_{i\sigma^{-1}(i)} A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)i} = Y_i$.

Proof By partitioning the solutions, X, Y of the above system of equations into matrix blocks appropriately we can assume that $X = (A_{ij}), Y = (B_{jk})$

62

S. K. JAIN, V. K. GOEL AND E. K. KWAK

where A_{ii}, B_{ii} are square matrices of the same orders as that of X_i . We now proceed to establish (ii) and (iii). Clearly, by hypothesis

$$X_j = \sum_{k=1}^r A_{jk} B_{kj} \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq r \quad (6)$$

$$B_{ij} A_{jk} = 0 \quad \forall i \neq k. \quad (7)$$

From Eqs. (6) and (7) and Lemma A, we get

$$X_j = A_{jl} B_{lj} \quad \text{for some } l, 1 \leq l \leq r$$

and

$$A_{jk} = 0 = B_{kj} \quad \forall k \neq l.$$

Thus there is one and only one nonzero block in each row of X and in each column of Y . Since

$$YX = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & Y_r \end{bmatrix}$$

there is one and only one nonzero block in each column of X and in each row of Y . This gives a permutation σ in S_r such that $A_{j\sigma(j)} \neq 0, B_{\sigma(j)j} \neq 0$ and $A_{jk} = 0 = B_{kj}$ for all $k \neq \sigma(j)$. Clearly, $A_{i\sigma(i)} B_{\sigma(i)i} = X_i, B_{i\sigma^{-1}(i)} A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)i} = Y_i$, as desired.

LEMMA 2 *Let*

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ G & H \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} K & L \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$$

be nonnegative matrices (not necessarily square) partitioned into blocks of appropriate orders such that

$$XY = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad YX = \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where C, D are square matrices of the same orders having no zero entry on the diagonals. Then $F = G = L = M = 0, EK = C, KE = D, HN = 0 = NH$.

Proof Clearly, we have

$$EK + FM = C, \quad ME = KF = MF = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$KE + LG = D, \quad GK = EL = GL = 0. \quad (9)$$

Then Lemma B yields $M = 0 = F$ and $L = 0 = G$. But then we also get $EK = C, KE = D, NH = 0 = HN$, completing the proof.

Remark 1 As a consequence of the above lemmas we can rederive Theorem 2 in [7]. So, let A^m be a symmetric idempotent matrix. Then by Flor [6] there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$(PAP^T)(PA^{m-1}P^T) = PA^mP^T = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} x_1x_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_r x_r^T & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = (PA^{m-1}P^T)(PAP^T).$$

Lemma 2 then yields

$$PAP^T = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad E^m = \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_1^T & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_r x_r^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad H^m = 0.$$

Then by the above Lemma 1 and Lemma 6 in [7] we get the desired result.

Remark 2 Indeed if a polynomial $p(A) = \alpha_1 A + \dots + \alpha_m A^m$, where α_i are scalars, is an idempotent symmetric matrix one can also obtain somewhat similar characterization of A .

THEOREM 1 Let X, Y be nonnegative matrices (not necessarily square) each of rank r such that

$$XY = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} x_1y_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & x_r y_r^T & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

and

$$YX = \left[\begin{array}{cc|c} u_1v_1^T & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & u_r v_r^T & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

where $x_i, y_i, u_i,$ and $v_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ are positive vectors of the same order (x_i and $x_j, i \neq j,$ are not necessarily of the same order). Then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the following are true:

i) $A = (A_{ij}), B = (B_{kl})$ where the blocks A_{ii} and B_{ii} are square matrices of the same order as that of $x_i y_i^T$, and all A_{ij}, B_{kl} are zero except when $j = \sigma(i), l = \sigma^{-1}(k)$ for some $\sigma \in S_r, 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq r$.

64

S. K. JAIN, V. K. GOEL AND E. K. KWAK

ii) $A_{i\sigma(i)} = \alpha_i k_i^{-1} x_i v_{\sigma(i)}^T$, and $B_{\sigma(i)i} = \beta_i u_{\sigma(i)} y_i^T$, where $\alpha_i \beta_i = 1$, $k_i = |v_{\sigma(i)}^T u_{\sigma(i)}| = |y_i^T x_i|$.

iii) There exists a permutation matrix P such that PXP^T is a direct sum of matrices of types (not necessarily all)

a) $A_{jj} = \alpha_j k_j^{-1} x_j v_j^T$, where $\sigma(j) = j$

b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & C_{d-1d} \\ C_{d1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$C_{i+1i} = A_{j_i j_{i+1}} = \alpha_{j_i} k_{j_i}^{-1} x_{j_i} v_{j_{i+1}}^T \quad \text{if } i < d,$$

$$C_{d1} = A_{j_d j_1} = \alpha_{j_d} k_{j_d}^{-1} x_{j_d} v_{j_1}^T$$

and d is the length of a cycle $(j_1 \dots j_d)$ occurring in the disjoint decomposition of σ .

c) A zero matrix.

and PYP^T is a direct sum of matrices of types (not necessarily all)

a') $B_{jj} = \beta_j u_j y_j^T$, where $\sigma(j) = j$

b')

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{1d} \\ D_{21} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D_{32} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & D_{dd-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$D_{i+1i} = B_{j_{i+1} j_i} = \beta_{j_i} u_{j_{i+1}} y_{j_i}^T \quad \text{if } i < d$$

$$D_{1d} = B_{j_1 j_d} = \beta_{j_d} u_{j_1} y_{j_d}^T$$

and d is the length of a cycle $(j_1 \dots j_d)$ occurring in the disjoint decomposition of σ .

(c') a zero matrix.

Proof Set $C = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 y_1 & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot \\ 0 & & x_r y_r^T \end{bmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 v_1^T & & 0 \\ & \cdot & \\ & & \cdot \\ 0 & & u_r v_r^T \end{bmatrix}$.

Then as in Lemma 2, $X = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix}$, $Y = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ where $EK = C$, $KE = D$, $HN = 0 = NH$. Since $\text{rank } X = r = \text{rank } C \leq \text{rank } E \leq \text{rank } X$, $H = 0$. Similarly, $N = 0$. Also by Lemma 1, $E = (A_{ij})$, $K = (B_{jk})$ where the matrix blocks satisfy (i). Since E (or K) is of rank r and there is one and only one

nonzero block in each row and column of E (or K), each $A_{i\sigma(i)}$ (or $B_{\sigma(i)i}$) is of rank 1, $1 \leq i \leq r$. But then $A_{i\sigma(i)} = a_i b_i^T$, and $B_{\sigma(i)i} = c_i d_i^T$ where a_i, b_i, c_i , and d_i are nonzero vectors. Since $A_{i\sigma(i)} B_{\sigma(i)i}$ and $B_{\sigma(i)i} A_{i\sigma(i)}$ are positive matrices we can indeed choose a_i, b_i, c_i , and d_i as positive vectors. In order to prove (ii) we note that

$$A_{i\sigma(i)} B_{\sigma(i)i} = x_i y_i^T \quad (10)$$

and

$$B_{\sigma^{-1}(i)A_{\sigma^{-1}(i)i}} = u_i v_i^T. \quad (11)$$

Therefore from (10) $a_i(b_i^T c_i) d_i^T = x_i y_i^T$ whence $a_i = \mu_i |b_i^T c_i|^{-1} x_i$ and $d_i = \mu_i^{-1} y_i$ where μ_i is an arbitrary positive number. Similarly from (11) we get $c_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} = \lambda_i u_i$, and $b_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} = \lambda_i^{-1} |d_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}^T a_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}|^{-1} v_i$ where λ_i is an arbitrary positive number. Thus

$$A_{i\sigma(i)} = \mu_i (\lambda_{\sigma(i)} |b_i^T c_i| |d_i^T a_i|)^{-1} x_i v_{\sigma(i)}^T \quad (12)$$

$$B_{\sigma(i)i} = \lambda_{\sigma(i)} \mu_i^{-1} u_{\sigma(i)} y_i^T. \quad (13)$$

If we put $k_i = |b_i^T c_i| |d_i^T a_i|$, then the relations (10)–(13) give

$$k_i = |v_{\sigma(i)}^T u_{\sigma(i)}| = |y_i^T x_i|.$$

Also by setting $\alpha_i = \mu_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)}^{-1}$ and $\beta_i = \lambda_{\sigma(i)} \mu_i^{-1}$, we obtain (ii).

To prove (iii) we recall that any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ can be expressed as a unique product of disjoint cycles, i.e. $\sigma = (i_1 \dots i_{d_1}) (j_1 \dots j_{d_2}) \dots$, where $\sigma(i_1) = i_2, \dots, \sigma(i_{d_1}) = i_1$ and similarly for j 's etc. It is clear that corresponding to each cycle of length d , there is a $d \times d$ minor of X with $A_{i\sigma(i)}$, $A_{\sigma(i)\sigma^2(i)}, \dots, A_{\sigma^{d-1}(i)}$ as its nonzero entries (X being regarded as $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ matrix whose entries are blocks). By interchanging rows and columns of X suitably we can bring the rows and columns of each such minor adjacent to each other. Also this interchange of rows and columns transforms X into PXP^T for some permutation matrix P . This proves that PXP^T is a direct sum of types (a), (b) and (c) stated in the theorem. More specifically, type (a) shall correspond to cycles of length 1 and type (b) shall correspond to cycles of length $d > 1$. Similarly, we can prove that PYP^T is a direct sum of types (a'), (b') and (c').

3. MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM 2 *Let A, A^+ be nonnegative matrices such that $A^+ = p(A)$ where $p(A) = \alpha_1 A^{m_1} + \dots + \alpha_k A^{m_k}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$. Then there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the following three types (not necessarily all):*

I) βxx^T , where $\beta > 0$, $\sum_{m_i} \alpha_i \beta^{m_i+1} = 1$, and x is a positive unit vector

$$II) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1x_2^T & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2x_3^T & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_{d-1d}x_{d-1}x_d^T \\ \beta_{d1}x_dx_1^T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where x_i are positive unit vectors, x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$, are not necessarily of the same order, and $\beta_{12}, \beta_{23}, \dots, \beta_{d1}$ are arbitrary positive numbers with $d \neq 1$ such that their product $\beta_{12}\beta_{23}\dots\beta_{d1}$ is a common root of the following system of at most d equations in t

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1)} \alpha_i t^{(m_i+1)/d} = 1 \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1-k)} \alpha_i t^{(m_i+1-k)/d} = 0 \quad k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \tag{15}$$

where the summation in each of the above equations runs over all those m_i for which $d | (m_i+1-k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$, with the convention that if there is no m_i for which $d | (m_i+1-k)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ then the corresponding equation is absent. (Naturally, the possible values of d are divisors of m_i+1 . Among these divisors we shall discard those divisors d for which the above system of equations has no common positive solution.)

III) A zero matrix.

In particular, if all $\alpha_i > 0$ then β in type (I) and the product $\beta_{12}\beta_{23}\dots\beta_{d1}$ in type (II) are unique. Further, in this case the positive integer d , i.e. the rank of a matrix of type (II), must divide each m_i+1 .

Conversely, if A is a nonnegative matrix and P is a permutation matrix such that PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the following three types (not necessarily all).

I') βxx^T , $\beta > 0$, x is some positive unit vector.

$$II') \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12}x_1x_2^T & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23}x_2x_3^T & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_{d-1d}x_{d-1}x_d^T \\ \beta_{d1}x_dx_1^T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\beta_{ij} > 0$, x_i are positive unit vectors.

III') A zero matrix.

Then $A^+ \geq 0$ and is equal to some polynomial in A with scalar coefficients.

Proof Let A and A^+ be nonnegative matrices such that $A^+ = p(A)$ where $p(A) = \alpha_1 A^{m_1} + \dots + \alpha_k A^{m_k}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $m_i > 0$. Then $AA^+ = A^+A$.

Also since AA^+ is a symmetric idempotent matrix, by Flor [6], there exists a permutation matrix Q such that

$$(QAQ^T)(QA^+Q^T) = (QAA^+Q^T) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} x_1x_1 & 0 & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & x_r x_r^T & \\ \hline & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \right] = (QA^+Q^T)(QAQ^T)$$

for some integer r , where x_i are positive unit vectors. We may note that $\text{rank}(QAQ^T) = \text{rank}(QA^+Q^T) = \text{rank}(QAA^+Q^T) = r$. We now set $X = QAQ^T$ and $Y = QA^+Q^T$ and invoke Theorem 1 to obtain a permutation σ in S_r and a permutation matrix P such that PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the following three types (not necessarily all)

- a) $\beta_j x_j x_j^T$ where $\beta_j > 0$, $j = \sigma(j)$, x_j is a positive vector
- b)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & C_{d-1d} \\ C_{d1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$C_{ii+1} = \beta_{j_i} x_{j_i} x_{j_{i+1}}^T, \quad i < d, \beta_{j_i} > 0$$

$$C_{d1} = \beta_{j_d} x_{j_d} x_{j_1}^T, \quad \beta_{j_d} > 0$$

d is the length of a cycle $(j_1 \dots j_d)$ occurring in the disjoint decomposition of σ , and x_i 's are positive unit vectors.

- c) A zero matrix.

Also $AA^+A = A$ implies

$$\alpha_1 A^{m_1+2} + \dots + \alpha_k A^{m_k+2} = A. \tag{16}$$

Clearly, all summands S of PAP^T must satisfy equation (16), i.e.

$$\alpha_1 S^{m_1+2} + \dots + \alpha_k S^{m_k+2} = S. \tag{17}$$

Let $S = \beta x x^T$ be a summand of type (a) for some positive number β and unit positive vector x . Since $x x^T$ is an idempotent matrix Eq. (17) implies

$$\alpha_1 \beta^{m_1+1} + \dots + \alpha_k \beta^{m_k+1} = 1. \tag{18}$$

Next let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12} x_1 x_2^T & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23} x_2 x_3^T & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_{d-1d} x_{d-1} x_d^T \\ \beta_{d1} x_d x_1^T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

68

S. K. JAIN, V. K. GOEL AND E. K. KWAK

be a summand of type (b) for some positive numbers β_{ij} and unit positive vectors x_i . Then Eq. (17) implies

$$\alpha_1 S^{m_1+1+d} + \dots + \alpha_k S^{m_k+1+d} = S^d. \quad (19)$$

Clearly, for all $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ we have

$$S^k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & w_{1k+1}x_1x_{k+1}^T & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & w_{2k+2}x_2x_{k+2}^T & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & w_{d-kd}x_{d-k}x_d^T \\ w_{d-k+1}x_{d-k+1}x_1^T & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & w_{dk}x_dx_k^T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $w_{ij} = \beta_{ii+1} \dots \beta_{j-1j}$ for $i < j$, $w_{ij} = \beta_{ii+1} \dots \beta_{d-1d} \beta_{d1} \dots \beta_{j-1j}$ for $i > j$, $i \neq d$, and $w_{dj} = \beta_{d1} \dots \beta_{j-1j}$, also

$$S^d = (\beta_{12}\beta_{23} \dots \beta_{d1}) \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_1^T & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & x_dx_d^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence $S^q = (\beta_{12} \dots \beta_{d1})^p S^k$, where $q = pd+k$, $1 \leq k \leq d$. Now by Eq. (19) we have

$$\alpha_1 S^{m_1+1} + \dots + \alpha_k S^{m_k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1x_1^T & & & 0 \\ & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \\ 0 & & & x_dx_d^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

By comparing the entries in the first row of above matrix equation we get

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1)} \alpha_i (\beta_{12} \dots \beta_{d1})^{(m_i+1)/d} = 1 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1-k)} \alpha_i (\beta_{12} \dots \beta_{d1})^{(m_i+1-k)/d} = 0, \quad i \leq k \leq d-1 \quad (21)$$

with the convention that if there is no m_i such that $d | (m_i+1-k)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$ then the corresponding equation is absent.

In particular, let us assume all $\alpha_i > 0$. Then by Descartes's rule of signs in the theory of algebraic equations and intermediate value theorem in analysis β is the only positive root of the equation

$$\alpha_1 t^{m_1+1} + \dots + \alpha_k t^{m_k+1} = 1.$$

Therefore, β in type (I) is unique. Similarly, the product $\beta_{12}\beta_{23} \dots \beta_{d1}$ in type (II) is unique. Let d be the rank of a matrix in type (II). Then d must

divide each $(m_i + 1)$. Otherwise, let d does not divide some $m_i + 1$. Then there exists an integer $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d-1\}$ such that $d \mid (m_i + 1 - k)$. Hence by Eq. (21)

$$\sum_{\substack{d \nmid 1 \\ d \mid m_i + 1 - k}} \alpha_i (\beta_{12} \dots \beta_{d1})^{((m_i + 1 - k)/d)} = 0$$

which is impossible since $\alpha_i > 0$. Hence d divides each $(m_i + 1)$ as desired. This completes the proof.

To prove the converse, we first note that for each of the types (I'), (II') and (III') of matrices S , $S^+ \geq 0$ and $S^+S = SS^+$. Thus if PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of types (I'), (II') and (III'), then $(PAP^T)^+ \geq 0$ and $(PAP^T)(PAP^T)^+ = (PAP^T)^+(PAP^T)$, that is, $A^+ \geq 0$ and $AA^+ = A^+A$. This implies $A^+ = A^D$, a polynomial in A , completing the proof.

Remark 3 Let A be nonnegative matrix and $p(A) = \alpha_1 A^{m_1} + \dots + \alpha_k A^{m_k}$, $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$ such that $p(A) \geq 0$, $Ap(A)$ is 0-symmetric, $Ap(A)A = A$, and $\text{rank } A = \text{rank } p(A)$. Then similar arguments as in Theorem 2 yield that there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the following three types (not necessarily all)

i) βxy^T , where x and y are positive vectors with $y^T x = 1$, and β is some positive number satisfying $\sum m_i \alpha_i \beta^{m_i + 1} = 1$

ii)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12} x_1 y_2^T & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_{23} x_2 y_3^T & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_{d-1,d} x_{d-1} y_d^T \\ \beta_{d1} x_d y_1^T & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where x_i and y_i are positive vectors of the same order with $y^T x_i = 1$, x_i and x_j , $i \neq j$, are not necessarily of the same order, and other restrictions on the choice of d and β 's remain the same as in Theorem 2.

iii) A zero matrix.

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

Now we proceed to give numerical examples to illustrate our Theorem 2.

Example 1 Let A be a nonnegative square matrix such that

$$A^+ = -2A^2 + 2A^4 - 5A^7 + 6A^{11}.$$

By Theorem 2, there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of types (I), (II) or (III). We first determine type (I). This type of matrices are of the form βxx^T where $-2\beta^3 + 2\beta^5 - 5\beta^8 + 6\beta^{12} = 1$, i.e. β is a root of $f(t) = 6t^{12} - 5t^8 + 2t^5 - 2t^3 - 1$. Now

$$f(t) = (t-1)g(t),$$

where $g(t) = 5t^8(t^3 + t^2 + t + 1) + 2t^3(t + 1) + (t^{11} + t^{10} + \dots + 1)$. Clearly, $g(t)$ has no positive solution. Hence $\beta = 1$ is the only positive solution of $f(t)$, and thus xx^T is the only possible form of matrices in type (I).

Next we determine the matrices in type (II). Recall that the type (II) contains matrices of rank d ($d \neq 1$) and the possible values of d are divisors of $(m_i + 1)$. Here, $m_1 = 2, m_2 = 4, m_3 = 7, m_4 = 11$. So possible values of d ($d \neq 1$) are 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 12. Among these values of d we discard those values for which the system of Eqs. (14) and (15), that is,

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1)} \alpha_i t^{(m_i+1)/d} = 1 \tag{14}$$

$$\sum_{d|(m_i+1-k)} \alpha_i t^{(m_i+1-k)/d} = 0, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\} \tag{15}$$

has no common solution. (Here $\alpha_1 = -2, \alpha_2 = 2, \alpha_3 = -5, \alpha_4 = 6$). We show below that d cannot be equal to 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12. If $d = 3$, then the above system of equations becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -2t + 6t^4 &= 1 \\ 2t - 5t^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which clearly do not have a common positive solution, showing $d = 3$ is not possible.

If $d = 4$, then the above system of equations becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -5t^2 + 6t^3 &= 1 \\ 2t &= 0 \\ -2t^0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Again there is no common solution to the above system, proving that d cannot be equal to 4. We can dispose of other values of d similarly. Hence the only possible value of d is 2. In this case, the system of equations becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -5t^4 + 6t^6 &= 1 \\ -2t + 2t^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $t = 1$ is the only common positive solution. Thus the matrices of type (II) will be of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax_1x_2^T \\ bx_2x_1^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a > 0, b > 0$ and $ab = 1$, and x_1, x_2 are positive unit vectors. Therefore, PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the form (not necessarily all):

i) xx^T , where x is a positive unit vector

ii)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax_1x_2^T \\ bx_2x_1^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$a > 0, b > 0, ab = 1$, and x_1, x_2 are positive unit vectors.

iii) A zero matrix.

We may remark that in this case $A^+ = A$, i.e. the given polynomial $6A^{11} - 5A^7 + 2A^4 - 2A^2$ reduces to A .

Example 2 Let A be a nonnegative matrix with a nonnegative generalized inverse

$$A^+ = 2A^{15} - (511/16)A^7 - 8A^6 + 32A^2.$$

The matrices of type (I) are of the form βxx^T , where β is a positive root of $h(t) = 2t^{16} - (511/16)t^8 - 8t^7 + 32t^3 - 1$. Now by the Descartes's rule of signs $h(t)$ can have at most three positive roots. But by intermediate value theorem $h(t)$ has at least three (hence exactly three) positive roots in the intervals $(0.31, 0.32)$, $(0.95, 0.96)$ and $(1.41, 1.42)$.

Next to determine matrices of type (II) we proceed as in example 1 and discard all the divisors d of $(m_i + 1)$ except when $d = 2, 4$. For $d = 2$, the system of Eqs. (14) and (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2t^8 - (511/16)t^4 &= 1 \\ -8t^3 + 32t &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The only positive common solution of above equations is $t = 2$. For $d = 4$, the system of Eqs. (14) and (15) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} 2t^4 - (511/16)t^2 &= 1 \\ -8t + 32 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The only positive common solution of above equations is $t = 4$. Thus PAP^T is a direct sum of matrices of the form (not necessarily all):

i) βxx^T , where β is a positive root of $h(t)$ and x is a positive unit vector

ii)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha x_1 x_2^T \\ \beta x_2 x_1^T & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\alpha\beta = 2$, and x_1, x_2 are positive unit vectors.

iii)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha y_1 y_2^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta y_2 y_3^T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma y_3 y_4 \\ \delta y_4 y_1^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta = 4$, and y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 are positive unit vectors.

iv) A zero matrix.

In the next example we show that if $p(\lambda)$ is a polynomial with scalar coefficients then the matrix equation $X^+ = p(X)$ may not possess any nonnegative nontrivial solution X such that $X^+ \geq 0$.

Example 3 Consider

$$p(\lambda) = -2\lambda^{15} - 32\lambda^7 - 8\lambda^6 + \lambda^2.$$

In case $X^+ = p(X)$ has a solution containing a summand of type (I), then

$$f(t) = -2t^{16} - 32t^8 - 8t^7 + t^3 - 1$$

must have a positive root which is not true. To look for solutions of $X^+ = p(X)$ in matrices of type (II), we proceed as in example 1 and 2. It can be

verified that no divisor d of $(m_i + 1)$ is acceptable. Thus the only possible solution is the trivial solution $X = 0$.

We close this example by a remark that if all the coefficients of $p(\lambda)$ are positive then $X^+ = p(X)$ always possesses a nontrivial nonnegative solution.

Remarks 1) Emilie Haynsworth and J. R. Wall have in their paper "Group inverses of certain nonnegative matrices", to appear in *Linear Algebra and Applications*, among others, characterized nonnegative matrices A with $A^\# = A^k$, k is some positive integer.

2) In our other paper "Decomposition of nonnegative group-monotone matrices", submitted for publication, we have obtained a decomposition of nonnegative matrices having nonnegative group inverses. This decomposition characterizes all nonnegative matrices with nonnegative group inverses and provides a new approach to the solutions of problems relating to such matrices.

References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville, *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Wiley, New York (1974).
- [2] A. Berman, Nonnegative matrices which are equal to their generalized inverse, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **9** (1974), 261–265.
- [3] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, Monotonicity and the generalized inverse, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **22** (1972), 155–161.
- [4] A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons, Inverses of nonnegative matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* **2** (1974), 161–172.
- [5] R. E. Cline, Inverses of rank invariant powers of a matrix, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **5** (1968), 182–197.
- [6] P. Flor, On groups of nonnegative matrices, *Compositio Math.* **21** (1969), 376–382.
- [7] S. K. Jain, V. K. Goel and Edward K. Kwak, Nonnegative m -th roots of nonnegative 0-symmetric idempotent matrices, *Linear Algebra and Applications*, to appear.
- [8] R. Penrose, A generalized inverse for matrices, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **51** (1955), 406–413.
- [9] R. J. Plemmons and R. E. Cline, The generalized inverse of a nonnegative Matrix, *Proc. Amer. math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 46–50.