

Barthwal, S. Jain, S.K. Jhingan, S. and  
 López-Permouth, S.R.  
 Advances in Ring Theory  
 Birkhauser, 31-38 (1997)

## RING OF MORITA CONTEXT IN WHICH EACH RIGHT IDEAL IS WEAKLY SELF-INJECTIVE

S. BARTH WAL, S. K. JAIN, S. JHINGAN, AND SERGIO R.  
 LÓPEZ-PERMOUTH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, among others, an example of a noetherian ring of Morita Context in which each right ideal is weakly self-injective, has been studied.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A right  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be self-injective if for every  $R$ -homomorphism  $\varphi: M \rightarrow E(M)$ , from  $M$  into its injective hull  $E(M)$ ,  $\varphi(M) \subseteq M$ . Similarly,  $M$  is weakly self-injective if for every homomorphism  $\varphi: M \rightarrow E(M)$ , there exists  $X \subseteq M$  such that  $\varphi(M) \subseteq X \cong M$ . If  $K$  is a right Ore domain then each right ideal is clearly weakly self-injective. Let  $K$  be a right and left noetherian domain. We consider the ring

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} K & K^* & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K & K^* & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & K & K^* \\ K^* & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & K \end{pmatrix}$$

of all  $n \times n$  matrices whose only nonzero possible entries are on the main diagonal and at  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n-1, n), (n, 1)$  places. The entries on the main diagonal belong to  $K$  and on the  $(i, i+1)$ th places, for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , belong to a null  $K$ -algebra  $K^*$  of rank 1. We prove that each right and left ideal in  $R$  is weakly self-injective and address some related questions by considering  $K$ -algebra  $K^*$  of rank greater than 1. Rings whose right ideals are self-injective have been studied in great detail by many authors. It is interesting to note that the injective hull of  $R$  is a ring with the property that each right ideal is self-injective([1]).

Throughout this paper, by a module we mean a right module.  $K$  will denote a right noetherian domain.  $Q$ , the right maximal ring of quotients of  $K$ .  $K^*$ , a null algebra of rank 1 over  $K$  that is,  $KK^* = 0 = K^*K$ . We shall identify  $K^*$  with  $K$  as additive abelian groups.

For any module  $M$ ,  $E(M)$  will denote the injective hull of  $M$ . For any two sets  $I$  and  $J$ ,  $(IJ)_i$  will denote the set of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $(i, i)$ th element from  $I$ ,  $(i, i + 1)$ th element from  $J$  and all other elements zero. Note that in our notation,  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n (KK^*)_i$ . The fact that  $R$  is a ring under usual matrix operations is clear, because  $KK^* = 0 = K^*K$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULT

We begin with a lemma whose proof is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $K$  is noetherian then  $R$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* Writing  $R$  as

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} T & P \\ Q & K \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} K & K^* & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K & K^* & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & K^* \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & K \end{pmatrix},$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ K^* \end{pmatrix}, Q = (K^* \ 0 \ 0 \ \dots \ \dots \ 0).$$

Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ Q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,  $A$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$  and  $\frac{R}{A} = T \times K$ . Since both  $T$  and  $K$  are noetherian as  $\frac{R}{A}$ -modules,  $(R/A)_{R/A}$  is noetherian. So  $R/A$  is noetherian as  $R$ -module. Hence, it is enough to show  $A_R$  is noetherian. Let  $P^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $Q^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Q & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then both  $Q$  and  $P$  are two-sided ideals of  $A$ , infact of  $R$ . Also note that,  $\varphi: Q^* \rightarrow K^*$  defined by  $\varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ k^* & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = k^*$  is a  $K$ -module isomorphism.

Hence  $Q_K^*$  is noetherian. But  $K$  is embeddable in  $R$  via the map  $k \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & k \end{pmatrix}$ . So  $Q_R^*$  is noetherian. Hence  $(A/P^*)_R = Q_R^*$  is noetherian. Also  $P_R^*$  is noetherian. Hence,  $A_R$  is noetherian, which proves the result. ■

**Lemma 2.2.** *The right ideals of the ring  $R$  are precisely  $\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$ , where*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k = \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 & J_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ J_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & I_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and for each  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are right ideals of  $K$  such that  $I_k \subseteq J_k$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$  is a right ideal of  $R$  whenever  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are right ideals of  $K$  such that  $I_k \subseteq J_k$ . Conversely, if  $I$  is a right ideal of  $R$ , then  $I$  is finitely generated. We shall prove that  $I$  is of the form  $\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$ , by induction on the number  $m$  of generators of  $I$ . For  $m = 1$ , suppose that  $I$  is generated by  $a = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} e_{ii} + a_{ii+1} e_{ii+1}^*)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= aR \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} a_{ii+1} + a_{ii+1} e_{ii+1}^*) R \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}K & a_{11}K^* + a_{12}^*K & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}K & a_{22}K^* + a_{23}^*K & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1}^*K + a_{nn}K^* & 0 & \dots & 0 & \dots & a_{nn}K \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly of the form  $\sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$  with  $I_k \subseteq J_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

Suppose the result holds for  $m - 1$  generators. Let  $I$  be generated by  $m$  generators  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m$ . Then  $I = a_1 R + a_2 R + \dots + a_{m-1} R + a_m R$ . By induction hypothesis,  $a_1 R + a_2 R + \dots + a_{m-1} R = \sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$  and  $a_m R = \sum_{k=1}^n (I'_k J'_k)_k$  with  $I_k \subseteq J_k$  and  $I'_k \subseteq J'_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . So  $I = \sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k + \sum_{k=1}^n (I'_k J'_k)_k = \sum_{k=1}^n ((I_k + I'_k)(J_k + J'_k))_k$ . Since

$I_k \subseteq J_k$  and  $I'_k \subseteq J'_k, I_k + I'_k \subseteq J_k + J'_k$ . So  $I$  has the desired form. Hence the proof is complete by induction. ■

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $I, J$  are right ideals of  $K$  with  $I \subset J$  then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n, j \neq i, j \neq i-1 \pmod{n}$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R((IJ)_i, (QQ)_j) = 0$ , where  $Q$  is the right maximal quotient ring of  $K$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_R((IJ)_i, (QQ)_j)$  and  $\phi(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) = pe_{jj} + qe_{jj+1}^*$ . Then  $(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*)e_{j+1j+1} = 0$  and  $(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*)e_{jj+1}^* = 0$ , yields  $p = 0$  and  $q = 0$  respectively. ■

Next we compute the injective hull of  $R_R$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *The injective hull of  $R_R$  is*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (QQ^*)_i = \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q^* & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & Q^* & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & Q & Q^* \\ Q^* & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 \neq x = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{ii}e_{ii} + q_{ii+1}e_{ii+1}^*) \in \sum_{i=1}^n (QQ)_i$ . To be definite, let  $p_{11} \neq 0$ . For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $r_i \in K$  such that  $p_{ii}r_i \in K, q_{ii+1}r_i \in K$  and  $p_{11}r_1 \neq 0$ . But then  $0 \neq x \sum_{i=1}^n r_i e_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^n (p_{ii}r_i e_{ii} + q_{ii+1}r_i e_{ii+1}^*)$  is in  $R$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^n (QQ^*)_i$  is an essential extension of  $R$ .

To prove injectivity of  $\sum_{i=1}^n (QQ^*)_i$ , it is sufficient to prove that for all  $i, (QQ^*)_i$  is injective as an  $R$ -module. To prove this, let  $\phi : \sum_{i=1}^n (IJ)_k \rightarrow (QQ^*)_i$  then  $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_k$ , where  $\phi_k : (IJ)_k \rightarrow (QQ^*)_i$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\phi_k = 0$  for  $k \neq i, i+1$ , so that  $\phi = \phi_i + \phi_{i+1}$ .

Define  $\phi'_i : J_i \rightarrow Q$  as follows : if  $b \in J_i$  and  $\phi_i(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) = pe_{ii} + qe_{ii+1}^*$  for some  $a \in I_i$ , then set  $\phi'_i(b) = q$ . The map  $\phi'_i$  is well defined, for if,  $ae_{ii} \in (IJ)_i$  and  $\phi(ae_{ii}) = pe_{ii} + qe_{ii+1}^*$  then, as  $ae_{ii}e_{i+1i+1} = 0$ , we have  $q = 0$ .  $\phi'_i$  is clearly a  $K$ -homomorphism. Since  $Q$  is injective as  $K$ -module, there exist  $\alpha \in Q$  such that  $\phi'_i(b) = \alpha b$  for all  $b \in J_i$ . If  $\phi_i(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) = pe_{ii} + qe_{ii+1}^*$  then  $pe_{ii+1}^* = (pe_{ii} + qe_{ii+1}^*)e_{ii+1} = \phi_i(ae_{ii+1}^*)$ , so that  $\phi'_i(a) = p$ . Thus  $p = \alpha a, q = \alpha b$ . It follows that  $\phi_i(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) = \alpha ae_{ii} + \alpha be_{ii+1} = \alpha e_{ii}(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*)$ . Observe, now, that if  $\phi_{i+1}(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*) = pe_{ii} + qe_{ii+1}^*$  then, as  $(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*)e_{ii+1}^* = 0$ , we have  $p = 0$ . If  $I_{i+1} = 0$ , then since  $(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*)e_{i+1i+1} = 0$  we have  $\phi_{i+1} = 0$ . Thus, in this case,  $\phi = \phi_i$  and

consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right) &= \phi_i(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) \\ &= \alpha\phi_i(ae_{ii} + be_{ii+1}^*) \\ &= \alpha e_{ii} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume, now, that  $I_{i+1} \neq 0$ . Define  $\phi'_{i+1} : I_{i+1} \rightarrow Q$  as follows: if  $\phi_{i+1}(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*) = qe_{ii+1}^*$ , set  $\phi'_{i+1}(a) = q$ . The map  $\phi'_{i+1}$  is well defined, for if  $\phi_{i+1}(be_{i+1i+2}^*) = qe_{ii+1}^*$ , then, as  $be_{i+1i+2}^*e_{i+1i+1} = 0$ , we have  $q = 0$ . Clearly  $\phi'_{i+1}$  is a  $K$ -homomorphism. As above, there exists  $\beta \in Q$  such that  $\phi'_{i+1}(a) = \beta a$  for all  $a \in I_{i+1}$ , that is, if  $\phi_{i+1}(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*) = qe_{ii+1}^*$  then  $q = \phi'_{i+1}(a) = \beta a$ . Thus,

$$\phi_{i+1}(ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*) = \beta a e_{ii+1}^* = \beta e_{ii+1}^* (ae_{i+1i+1} + be_{i+1i+2}^*).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right) &= \alpha e_{ii} (a_i e_{ii} + b_i e_{ii+1}^*) + \beta e_{ii+1}^* (a_{i+1} e_{i+1i+1} + b_{i+1} e_{i+1i+2}^*) \\ &= \alpha e_{ii} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right) + \beta e_{ii+1}^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right) \\ &= (\alpha e_{ii} + \beta e_{ii+1}^*) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (a_k e_{kk} + b_k e_{kk+1}^*)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in any case, there exist  $\lambda \in (QQ^*)_i$  such that  $\phi(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in I$ . Consequently, for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $(QQ^*)_i$  is injective as  $R$ -module and the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

**Remark 2.1.** Müller [4] and Sakano [5] obtained the injective hull of generalized matrix. One may obtain the above result by using their method. Our construction is direct and less technical. A slight modification of the above argument yields the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.5.** If  $I$  and  $J$  are right ideals of  $K$  such that  $I \subset J$  and  $J$  is non-zero then for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , the injective hull of the right ideal  $(IJ)_i$  is  $(QQ^*)_i$ .

It is known that a domain is right weakly self-injective if and only if it is a right Ore domain (Example 1.11(ii), [3]). For the following discussion we assume that  $K$  is right Ore. Then for  $n > 1$ , we have the following:

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $K$  be a right Ore domain and  $n > 1$ . Then the ring  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n (KK^*)_i$  is right weakly self-injective if and only if  $K$  is left Ore.*

*Proof.* First assume  $R$  is right weakly self-injective. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any two non-zero elements of  $K$ , and let  $x = a^{-1}e_{11} + b^{-1}e_{12}^*$ . Then  $x$  is a non-zero element of  $E(R_R)$ . Since  $R$  is weakly self-injective, by (Remark 1.5, [3]) there exist  $0 \neq y = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i e_{ii} + b_i e_{ii+1}^*)$  such that  $x \in yR$  and  $r.\text{ann}_R(y) = 0$ . If  $a_i = 0$  for some  $i$ , say  $i = k$ , then  $ye_{kk+1}^* = 0$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $r.\text{ann}_R(y) = 0$ . Thus  $a_i \neq 0$  for all  $i$ . But then  $y$  is invertible and  $y^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^{-1}e_{ii} - a_i^{-1}b_i a_{i+1}^{-1}e_{ii+1}^*)$ . Since  $x \in yR$ , we get  $a_1^{-1}a^{-1} = \alpha$  and  $a_1^{-1}b^{-1} = \beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in K$ . Thus  $\alpha a = \beta b$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in K$  and hence  $K$  is left Ore.

Conversely, assume that  $K$  is left Ore and let  $0 \neq x = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i e_{ii} + b_i e_{ii+1}^*)$  be an arbitrary element of  $E(R_R) = \sum_{i=1}^n (QQ^*)_i$ . Since  ${}_K K$  is essential in  $Q$ , there exist  $0 \neq r \in K$  such that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $ra_i, rb_i \in K$ . Let  $ra_i = s_i, rb_i = t_i$  and  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n r^{-1}e_{ii}$ . Then  $y$  is a non-zero element of  $E(R_R)$  and  $s_i, t_i \in K$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Also  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (r^{-1}s_i e_{ii} + r^{-1}t_i e_{ii+1}^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n r^{-1}e_{ii} \sum_{i=1}^n (s_i e_{ii} + t_i e_{ii+1}^*) \in yR$ . Moreover, if  $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i e_{ii} + y_i e_{ii+1}^*) \in r.\text{ann}_R(y)$  then  $r^{-1}x_i = 0 = r^{-1}y_i$  for all  $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ . It follows that  $x_i = 0 = y_i$  for all  $i$ . Consequently,  $r.\text{ann}_R(y) = 0$  and therefore  $R$  is weakly self-injective. ■

In view of the above theorem, it is clear that if  $K$  is a right noetherian domain then  $R = \sum_{i=1}^n (KK^*)_i$  is a weakly self injective ring.

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $K$  is a noetherian domain and*

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^n (KK^*)_i = \begin{pmatrix} K & K^* & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K & K^* & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & K & K^* \\ K^* & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & K \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Then every right ideal as well left of  $R$  is weakly self-injective.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 \neq I = \sum_{k=1}^n (I_k J_k)_k$  be a right ideal of  $R$  and let  $\Lambda$  be the set of  $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ , for which  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  are not both zero. Then  $I = \bigoplus \sum_{k \in \Lambda} (I_k J_k)$  so that  $E(I) = \bigoplus \sum_{k \in \Lambda} (QQ^*)_k$ . Let  $0 \neq \phi : I \rightarrow E(I)$  be any  $R$ -homomorphism and let for  $k \in \Lambda, \phi_k = \pi_k \phi$ , where  $\pi_k$  is the projection of  $\bigoplus \sum_{k \in \Lambda} (QQ^*)_k$  onto  $(QQ^*)_k$ . Then  $\phi = \sum_{k \in \Lambda} \phi_k$ . Proceeding, now, as in Theorem 2.4, for all  $k \in \Lambda$ , we can find  $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in Q$  such that  $\phi_k(x) = (\alpha_k e_{kk} + \beta_{k+1} e_{kk+1}^*)x$  for all  $x \in I$ . Observe that, if for some  $k \in \Lambda, k-1 \notin \Lambda$ , then  $\beta_k = 0$ . Consequently,

for all  $x \in I$ ,  $\phi(x) = [\sum_{k \in \Lambda} (\alpha_k e_{kk} + \beta_{k+1} e_{kk+1}^*)] x$ . Since  $\phi \neq 0$ , at least one of  $\alpha_k$ 's and  $\beta_k$ 's is non-zero. Since  $Q$  is left maximal ring of quotients of  $K$ , there exist  $0 \neq r \in K$  such that for all  $k \in \Lambda$ ,  $\alpha_k, \beta_k \in K$ . Let  $r\alpha_k = x_k, r\beta_k = y_k$ . Now, if for some  $k \in \Lambda$ ,  $I_k \neq 0$ , pick  $0 \neq \lambda_k \in I_k$ , and if  $I_k = 0$ , pick  $0 \neq \lambda_k \in J_k$ . Then, for all  $k \in \Lambda$ ,  $\lambda_k x_k \in I_k$ , and  $\lambda_k y_{k+1} \in J_k$ . Consequently, for all  $x \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \left( \sum_{k \in \Lambda} r^{-1} \lambda_k^{-1} e_{kk} \right) \left( \sum_{k \in \Lambda} (\lambda_k x_k e_{kk} + \lambda_k y_{k+1} e_{kk+1}^*) x \right) \\ &\in \left( \sum_{k \in \Lambda} r^{-1} \lambda_k^{-1} e_{kk} \right) I \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi(I) \subset yI$ , where  $y = \sum_{k \in \Lambda} r^{-1} \lambda_k^{-1} e_{kk}$ . It is easy to see that  $yI \simeq I$ . It follows that  $I$  is weakly self-injective. The proof is similar for left ideals of  $R$ . ■

A slight modification of the above argument yields our next result. Before we can state it we need to mention the following definition:

**Definition 2.1.** A module  $M$  is said to be weakly  $N$ -injective if for every homomorphism  $\varphi : N \rightarrow E(M)$ , there exist  $X \subseteq E(M)$  such that  $\varphi(N) \subseteq X \cong M$  and weakly-injective if it is weakly  $N$ -injective for every finitely generated module  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** For every  $n$ , every right ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is weakly  $I^n$ -self-injective.

**Corollary 2.9.**  $R$  is weakly-injective.

We now give an example to show that if the null algebra  $K^*$  is of rank more than 1 then the result may not be true.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $K$  be a right Ore domain. Then, neither of the rings

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} K & K^* \times K^* \\ K^* \times K^* & K \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} K & K^* \times K^* \\ K^* & K \end{pmatrix}$$

is weakly self-injective.

*Proof.* We prove the result for  $R_2$ . The argument for  $R_1$  is similar. It is easy to see that  $R_2 \simeq R'_2$ , where

$$R'_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b^* \\ 0 & a & c^* \\ d^* & 0 & e \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d, e \in K \right\}$$

and that

$$E(R_2) \simeq \begin{pmatrix} Q & Q & Q^* \\ Q & Q & Q^* \\ Q^* & 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $Q$  is the right maximal quotient ring of  $K$ .

Suppose  $R_2$  is weakly self-injective. Then for  $e_{12} \in E(R_2)$ , there exist  $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13}^* \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23}^* \\ y_{31}^* & 0 & y_{33} \end{pmatrix} \in E(R_2)$  such that  $e_{12} \in yR_2$  and  $r.\text{ann}_{R_2}(y) = 0$ . Since  $e_{12} \in yR_2$ , therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} & y_{13}^* \\ y_{21} & y_{22} & y_{23}^* \\ y_{31}^* & 0 & y_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b^* \\ 0 & a & c^* \\ d^* & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $a, b, c, d, e \in K$ . It follows that  $a \neq 0$ ,  $y_{11} = 0 = y_{21} = y_{22}$ . But then  $ye_{13}^* = 0$ , contradicting the fact that  $r.\text{ann}_{R_2}(y) = 0$ . Hence  $R_2$  is not weakly self-injective. ■

**Remark 2.2.** A similar argument can be used to show that the ring  $R' = \begin{pmatrix} D & D^2 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $D$  is a division ring, is not weakly self-injective. It follows that every right ideal in a non-singular, artinian ring need not be weakly self-injective. However, for the ring of upper triangular matrices over a division ring, it is not hard to see that every right ideal is weakly self-injective.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ivanov, *Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **6** (1972), 45-52.
- [2] G. Ivanov, *Non-local rings whose ideals are all quasi-injective: addendum*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **12** (1975), 159-160.
- [3] S. K. Jain and S. R. López-Permouth, *A survey on the theory of weakly-injective modules*, Computational Algebra, Marcel Dekker, NY (1994), 205-232.
- [4] Marianne Müller, *Rings of quotients of generalized matrix rings*, Comm. Alg. **15**(10), 1987, 1991-2015.
- [5] Kazunori Sakano, *Maximal quotient rings of generalized matrix rings*, Comm. Alg. **12**(16), 1984, 2055-2065.

## RING OF MORITA CONTEXT

9

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701  
*E-mail address:* [sbarthwa@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu](mailto:sbarthwa@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701  
*E-mail address:* [jain@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu](mailto:jain@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701  
*E-mail address:* [sjhingan@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu](mailto:sjhingan@oucsace.cs.ohiou.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO UNIVERSITY, ATHENS, OH 45701  
*E-mail address:* [slopez@bing.math.ohiou.edu](mailto:slopez@bing.math.ohiou.edu)